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The graduate program in chemistry at the University of Pittsburgh is designed to enable students to develop the special qualifications that distinguish M.S. and Ph.D. chemists. These include creativity, capability for critical thought and articulate expression, as well as close familiarity with the methodology and literature of their field. Students typically meet course-work requirements during the first two terms in residence and begin research in the second or third term.

The general regulations that apply to all students pursuing M.S. or Ph.D. degrees in any department of the Faculty of Arts and Sciences are set forth in the University’s Graduate and Professional Bulletin. Every graduate student is responsible for understanding these requirements. In several instances, details are left in the hands of the student’s major department. The present document explains those regulations specific to the Chemistry Department and elaborates on other issues referred to in the Bulletin. The Bulletin is available on the University’s web site (http://www.pitt.edu/~graduate/).

1. STUDENT ADVISEMENT

Advisement of all new students is in the hands of the Graduate Student Advisement Committee (GSAC). This committee meets with each new student prior to their first registration to help with orientation and to plan a program of study. Additional meetings are held during registration periods prior to each term and as otherwise necessary or appropriate. GSAC assists in the selection of courses to be taken until the Preliminary Examination is passed. Once the Preliminary Examination is passed, advising is primarily in the hands of the student’s Major Adviser, although GSAC and the Director of Graduate Studies (DGS) continue to monitor the progress of all students to confirm that the major milestones of the graduate program are completed on time (see below).

2. THE Ph.D. DEGREE

The graduate program of the Chemistry Department is aimed primarily at the Ph.D. degree. The following is a summary of the departmental requirements that must be satisfied by all candidates for this degree.

2.1. The Preliminary Examination

The University Regulations covering Graduate study state “The preliminary evaluation should be designed to assess the breadth of the student's knowledge of the discipline…”
The Preliminary Examination in the Department of Chemistry is based on the student’s record of performance in four of the department’s graduate-level core courses. To meet the breadth requirement, the four core courses must be selected from at least three of the formal disciplines of Chemistry: Analytical, Biological, Inorganic, Organic and Physical. In order to satisfy divisional requirements, two core courses must be taken in an area of concentration selected by the student. The following core courses are offered; all are 3-credit courses, the schedule of courses is published each year:

Inorganic:  
- Chem 2110 Physical Inorganic Chemistry  
- Chem 2120 Inorganic Synthesis

Analytical:  
- Chem 2210 Electrochemistry  
- Chem 2220 Chemical Separations  
- Chem 2230 Analytical Spectroscopy

Organic:  
- Chem 2310 Mechanistic Organic Chemistry  
- Chem 2320 Advanced Organic Synthesis

Physical:  
- Chem 2430 Quantum Mechanics and Kinetics  
- Chem 2440 Thermodynamics and Statistical Mechanics

Biological:  
- Chem 2810 Biological Chemistry 1  
- Chem 2820 Biological Chemistry 2

The Graduate School of Arts and Sciences defines a grade of B as adequate performance for graduate students (see Appendix III for guidelines regarding core course grading). Therefore, students are expected to earn grades of B or higher in the first four core courses taken, which comprise the Preliminary Examination. Students who earn a grade of B or higher in their first four core courses will pass the Preliminary Examination. Students who earn a grade below B in one of the first four core courses will pass the Preliminary Examination if the core course grade point average is 3.00 or higher: such students should be aware of the 12-credit requirement explained in Section 2.2. Students who earn a grade below B in one of the first four core courses, and who do not have a core course grade point average that is 3.00 or higher, will be permitted to take a fifth core course and will pass the Preliminary Examination if the grade earned in the fifth core course is B or higher. Once a student has earned a grade below B in more than one of the first four core courses, that student will have failed the Ph.D. Preliminary Examination and will not continue in the Ph.D. program.

No course repetitions, or substitutions from outside the core program, may be used for the purpose of completing the Preliminary Examination. Students should note the Minimum Progress Requirements described in Section 2.5.a and 2.5.b.

2.1.a. Students with advanced standing: Students who enter the graduate program with advanced standing (i.e. students who have a Master’s Degree in chemistry or who have already taken graduate-level courses in chemistry) may request permission from GSAC to earn credit for a core course by taking an examination. Normally, this ‘credit by examination’ option is available only to students who have previously taken a graduate-level course similar to one of this department’s core courses, as determined by the course instructor. Earning credit by examination involves the assignment of a letter grade based on an oral or written examination, at the discretion of the core course instructor. Students wishing to take such an examination should obtain the “Credit by Examination” form from the Graduate Program Administrator. A course
passed by examination counts towards the requirements for the Preliminary Exam and towards the University-wide 12 credit requirement (Section 2.2).

2.1.b. Students who desire extra preparation: With the advice and consent of GSAC, students may elect to take preparatory courses from outside the core course offerings: such courses may include 1000-level undergraduate chemistry courses or 2000-level graduate chemistry courses. Students who, with the permission of GSAC, take preparatory courses will receive a suitable extension for completion of the Preliminary Examination and other milestones. Students are expected to earn grades of B or higher in preparatory courses. Students face dismissal from the program if a grade below B is earned in more than one preparatory course.

2.1.c. Students on Provisional Graduate Status: Students admitted on Provisional Graduate Status will meet with GSAC to arrange a personalized program of study. Normally, students on Provisional Graduate Status will not begin taking core courses and working towards the Preliminary Examination until they are ready to convert to Full Graduate Status. Students on Provisional Status may not convert to Full Graduate Status if their grade point average is below 3.00

2.2. University-Wide 12-Credit Requirement

Students need to be aware of the University’s requirement that a minimum of 12 credit hours of coursework at the 2000- or 3000-level (excluding Independent Study, Directed Study, Thesis and Teaching credits) must be completed with an earned grade of B or better (note: not a B average but rather a grade of B or better) in order to qualify for the Master’s Degree. Ideally, students will meet the 12-credit requirement on the basis of the courses taken for the Ph.D. Preliminary Examination. If not, additional 2000- or 3000-level coursework should be taken, which may include but is not limited to core courses. Students who do not satisfy this 12-credit requirement are not eligible to receive the Master’s Degree.

2.3. Selecting a Major Advisor and Joining a Research Group

2.3.a. Joining a Group: Each student must join a research group. The faculty member directing that research group will serve as the student’s Major Advisor once the Preliminary Exam is completed. To assist with this decision, entering graduate students take Chemistry 2700, a zero-credit research seminar in which faculty members in the department discuss projects available in their laboratory. Students are also required to make appointments with at least three faculty members to discuss research projects in more detail. The student must keep a record of these appointments by asking the faculty member to sign the “Selection of Research Problem and Director” form, which can be obtained from the Graduate Program Administrator.

There are two procedures for joining a research group, a formal process and an informal one. Students who choose to participate in the formal process will submit a prioritized list of three potential research advisors to the Assistant Chair by the official submission deadline (set each year around January 15th). The form can be obtained from the Graduate Program Administrator. A student who does not participate in the formal process because he or she has not reached a decision on group preference by the submission deadline may discuss his/her interests with the relevant faculty once the formal selection process is complete. No one,
however, will be permitted to officially select a Major Advisor prior to the completion of the formal group selection process. Early acceptances into groups should not be requested.

The formal group selection process functions as follows: After all participating students have submitted their prioritized list of three names, the Assistant Chair will notify individual faculty which students have placed them first on their lists. Faculty will then communicate to the Assistant Chair which of these students they can accommodate. If a student is not accepted into his/her group of first choice, the Assistant Chair will notify the faculty member of second choice. If there is no second round match, the third choice faculty member will be notified of the student’s interest. Once all decisions are made, the Assistant Chair will notify students of the results.

In the rare instance that a student does not get any of his/her first three choices the Assistant Chair will meet with the student and inform him/her of which groups still have openings for new students. The graduate student in this situation may then simply speak with any mentors of interest and may join any group upon mutual agreement (signified by the signing of the blue selection form). Students entering in the fall term must finalize their choice of Major Advisor by March 1st of the spring term. Students entering in the spring term (beginning in January) should do so by the end of that term. These deadlines must be met in order for students to remain in good standing in the graduate program.

2.3.b. Changing research groups: Although most students finish their Ph.D.’s in the group that they originally join it can happen that a student wishes to reconsider his/her choice. It is recommended that students seek the advice of the Assistant Chair, the GSAC Chair, or the Director of Graduate Studies if this is the case. A student who does decide to change groups must be accepted into another group in order to remain in the program. Moreover, since dissertation research is largely nontransferable and changing research focus may involve additional coursework, switching groups will almost always result in additional time in the program.

2.4. Comprehensive Examination

2.4.a. The Comprehensive Exam Committee: As one of the requirements for the Ph.D. degree, each graduate student must satisfy a Comprehensive Examination Committee of his or her preparation and aptitude for independent scholarship and research. This committee will be selected by the student in consultation with the Major Advisor, and will normally consist of three members of the Graduate Faculty of the Chemistry Department, including the Major Advisor, who will serve as the committee chair. The Comprehensive Examination is normally taken before the end of the seventh term in residence.

2.4.b. ‘Precomp’ Meetings: The first meeting of the committee will take place in the first half of the fifth term. To help orient the committee members, the student will provide a two-page description of their research objective and plan of procedure. This report must be delivered to the departmental main office and to the committee members by the end of the first full week of the fifth term. The first meeting is to ensure that the committee members are familiar with the student’s plans and progress.
Students are encouraged to seek advice/guidance from their committee members as the Comprehensive Exam approaches. The student, or any member of the committee, may request a committee meeting at any time. Meetings other than the comprehensive exam are informal and focus on helping the student to prepare for the exam itself.

2.4.c. The Examination: The Comprehensive Examination provides the candidate an opportunity to demonstrate their potential for independent research and scholarship. The research problem, its theoretical background, and its current literature furnish a natural ground on which to conduct the examination.

The candidate is to provide a written report on the research problem. The report must be distributed to the committee members two weeks before the examinations and should be informative enough so that the committee can, if it desires, dispense with the oral presentation by the candidate and begin the questioning immediately. Each Division will indicate a reasonable length for the written summary.

The Comprehensive Examination is an oral exam devoted to a discussion of the candidate’s research field. The student will be asked to report on the research he or she has accomplished and to answer questions on the research itself as well as on the theoretical and practical aspects of the general field in which the research problem lies. The student will be expected to show a command of graduate course work and its application to the research problem.

During the Comprehensive Examination, all students will also be evaluated on the following three points; 1) the progress of the student’s research and whether it is on a track that will permit timely completion of the Ph.D., 2) the student’s level of general knowledge, and 3) the quality of the written document.

Finally, since students apply for admission to Candidacy for the Ph.D. Degree once the Comprehensive Examination is passed, students should also be prepared to describe their future research plans. The description of future research plans should be sufficiently detailed to serve as the dissertation prospectus.

2.4.d. Results of the Comprehensive Examination: The Chair of the Comprehensive Examination Committee reports the result of the examination by means of standard Ph.D. examination card. The only possible results of the Comprehensive Examination are Pass and Fail. However, the committee may also choose to defer their decision.

Pass: If the student demonstrates satisfactory performance, the committee members will sign the examination report card and check ‘Pass’. The exam is complete, although the committee members may have advice, recommendations, and feedback for the student’s consideration.

Deferred Decision: The committee may decide that a student must complete additional work to address matters arising during the Comprehensive Examination, in which case the committee will defer its decision as to whether the examination has been passed or failed until the additional work has been performed and the student examined again. The committee or
representative of the committee, most likely the Major Advisor, will explain in detail what additional work is required and why. That explanation will be summarized in a written statement, cosigned by all members of the committee, and provided to the student within one week of the examination itself. The written statement will specify a due date for completion of the additional work, which must be no later than three months from the date of the original exam but can be sooner at the discretion of the committee. No extensions of this deadline can be granted. If the work is not satisfactorily completed by this final deadline, the student will fail the comprehensive exam. Once the additional work is satisfactorily completed, at the discretion of the committee, the student may be required to retake all or part of the Comprehensive Examination.

**Fail:** If the student does not demonstrate satisfactory performance, the committee members will sign the examination report card and check ‘Fail.’ Students who fail the Comprehensive Examination will be given a detailed explanation as to why. The committee or representative of the committee, most likely the Major Advisor, will explain in detail why the student has failed the exam. The explanation will be summarized in a written statement, cosigned by all members of the committee, and provided to the student within one week of the examination itself. A student who fails the comprehensive exam may seek the advice of the Major Advisor, GSAC, and DGS regarding a transfer to the Master’s degree program. Once the Comprehensive Examination has been failed, no opportunity to retake the examination is available.

2.5. Minimum Progress Requirement

To remain in good standing in the graduate program, the student must meet the Minimum Progress Requirements as listed in this section. The due dates for the milestones listed here will be adjusted as necessary by GSAC for students admitted on Provisional Graduate Status or who take preparatory courses outside the core program. Meeting the scheduled milestones listed here is a requirement for continuing in the graduate program.

2.5.a: During *each of* the first two terms in residence (not including summers), each student must complete for a letter grade at least six credits of formal course work in chemistry: only, preparatory courses taken on the advice of GSAC and core courses, including those for which credit is earned by examination, contribute to the required six-credit load. Any other courses for which the student registers (e.g. audited courses, ESL courses, courses in other departments) do not count towards the six-credit load of coursework in chemistry. Due to this requirement, students registering for only six credits of coursework during *each of* the first two terms in residence may not withdraw from any courses once the Add-Drop period has closed and may not switch from letter grade to audit.

2.5.b: Most students will complete the Preliminary Examination during the first two terms in residence (not including summers). However, some students may not do so if, for example, they are advised to take preparatory courses before starting the core courses. In this case, GSAC will arrange a schedule of courses that assures timely completion of the Preliminary Examination. Students will continue at the pace of six-credits of coursework per term until three core courses have been completed. After that time, at least one core course must be taken in each subsequent term that core courses are available until the Preliminary Examination is
finished: delays for the purpose of choosing a preferred core course are not permitted. Students are not required to take a fifth core course, should that become necessary, until the first four core courses have been completed.

2.5.c: All graduate students at the University of Pittsburgh are required to maintain a minimum QPA of 3.0. After completion of nine credits in the graduate program, students whose QPA falls below 3.0 are placed on academic probation and are not eligible for teaching appointments at the University of Pittsburgh. If the QPA remains below 3.0 in two consecutive terms, the student faces dismissal from the program. Note: The QPA is the average of quality points earned in graduate level courses (2000 and 3000 level) taken for a grade. Seminars, undergraduate courses, courses audited or taken for a satisfactory/unsatisfactory grade, teaching credits, etc., do not contribute to the QPA. However, there is no probationary period for students who fail the Preliminary Examination or Comprehensive Examination.

2.5.d: Students must choose their Major Advisor as explained in Section 2.3.

2.5.e: During their fourth term, students must pick two faculty members who will serve with the Major Advisor on the Comprehensive Exam committee. The first meeting of the committee must take place during the first half of the fifth term. To ensure that the student and committee are prepared for the first meeting, the student must deliver a two-page research report to the main office by the last day of the first full week of the fifth term.

2.5.f: The Comprehensive Exam is to take place no later than the end of the seventh term. Students who earned credit by examination for two or more core courses must take the Comprehensive Exam by the end of the sixth term. Students taking one or two preparatory courses must take the Comprehensive Examination by the end of the eighth term. Students taking more than two preparatory courses will consult with GSAC to establish a due-date for the Comprehensive Examination. Extensions of these deadlines can only be granted by GSAC with the approval of the student’s Major Advisor. Requests for extensions must be provided in writing to GSAC no later than the fourth week of the term in which the exam is due. Extensions are not granted automatically and are intended to accommodate circumstances beyond the student’s control: examples include but are not limited to equipment failure and back ordered critical reagents or supplies.

2.6. Admission to Candidacy for the Ph.D. Degree

The student must apply for Admission to Candidacy for the Doctor of Philosophy Degree during the term after completion of the Comprehensive Examination. Admission to candidacy for the Doctor of Philosophy degree constitutes a promotion of the student to the most advanced stage of graduate study and provides formal approval to devote essentially exclusive attention to research and writing the dissertation.

2.6.a. The doctoral committee: Before the student is admitted to candidacy for the Ph.D. degree, the student's major advisor proposes, for approval by the Dean, a doctoral committee of four or more persons, including the Major Advisor, at least two other Faculty members of the Chemistry Department, and at least one other Graduate Faculty member from
another department in the University of Pittsburgh or from an appropriate graduate program at another academic institution.

The doctoral committee has the responsibility to advise the student during the progress of the candidate's research and has the authority to require high quality research and/or the rewriting of any portion of or the entire dissertation. It conducts the final oral examination and determines whether the dissertation meets accepted standards.

Meetings of the doctoral candidate and the dissertation committee must occur at least annually from the time the student gains admission to doctoral candidacy. During these meetings, the committee should assess the student's progress toward the degree and discuss objectives for the following year and a timetable for completing degree requirements. A two-page document summarizing the expected thesis and an updated CV should be distributed to the committee two weeks prior to each annual meeting.

2.6.b. Overview or Prospectus Meeting: A dissertation prospectus should be presented at the Comprehensive Examination. The doctoral committee must unanimously approve the dissertation topic and research plan before the student may be admitted to candidacy for the doctoral degree. Approval of the topic and research plan does not imply either the acceptance of a dissertation or the restriction of the dissertation research to this original topic.

2.7. The Proposal

After completion of the Comprehensive Exam, the student is expected to pursue the dissertation problem. When substantial progress on this problem has been made, a Proposal for an original research problem is to be written, presented, and successfully defended before a faculty committee. The defense of the Proposal should take place not less than four weeks before the Dissertation Examination; individual Divisions within the Department have the option of lengthening the required time interval between the Proposal Defense and the Dissertation Defense. If this requirement is not met, the Doctoral Committee will not review the thesis.

The topic of the proposal should differ from the topic of the student’s dissertation problem and should suggest an original line of inquiry into an important problem posed by the student. The student, in consultation with the Divisional Chair, should choose a faculty member other than the Major Advisor to serve as Mentor; the Major Advisor will serve as a nonvoting member of the committee. The Proposal Committee ordinarily will consist of the Mentor, and two other voting members selected by the Divisional Chair for their familiarity with the field of the proposal.

The proposal is an important opportunity for the student to display scientific maturity in terms of originality, critical ability and scholarship. Presentation of plans for projected work is an important part of professional life (in industry, government or academia). Accordingly, the preparation of a proposal involves the student in an important and common working experience. A student’s performance in this creative assignment will make an important contribution to his or her evaluation by the faculty.
The proposal is evaluated on the basis of thoroughness, depth and clarity of both the written and oral presentations, and particularly on its originality and creativity. The Mentor will indicate a reasonable length for the written proposal. If the Proposal Committee thinks it advisable, an outside expert in the field may be invited to attend. The outside expert advises the Committee on the merits of the proposal and its presentation. Final action on the student’s performance in the examination is taken only by the members of Committee without the outside expert being present, since they alone are in position to place the student’s performance in perspective against current departmental standards and the growth of the student. The student will not be informed of the Committee’s decision until a meeting of that group has been held to evaluate the proposal; a closed meeting with the student may be held at the Committee’s discretion. In some cases the student may be required to repeat all or part of the examination.

2.8. Dissertation and Final Examination

An essential part of the training of a chemist is an introduction to independent investigation. The Ph.D. dissertation is a report on the investigation under the supervision of a member of the Faculty. It must represent an original contribution to knowledge and must relate what the student has discovered to what was known before. The candidate’s dissertation must be defended in an oral examination before a Doctoral Committee consisting of the Major Advisor and at least two other Faculty members from the Chemistry Department. The Doctoral Committee must also include either one Graduate Faculty member from another department of the University of Pittsburgh or one qualified Graduate Faculty member from another institution. The Doctoral Committee is proposed by the Major Advisor and approved by the Department Chair and Graduate Dean. Copies of the dissertation must be in the hands of the dissertation committee at least two weeks prior to the defense date.

It is the responsibility of the Ph.D. candidate to ensure that the Chemistry receptionist and the Chemistry Web Master have a complete electronic copy of both the title page and the approved abstract of the dissertation at least two weeks in advance of the defense date so that the public portion of the defense can be appropriately advertised.

2.9. Other Requirements

A student in the Ph.D. program must also satisfy the following requirements.

2.9.a. Courses beyond the Preliminary Exam: Successful completion of the core-course program should be viewed as representing the minimum course preparation for a career in chemistry. Consequently, the Department offers a range of advanced courses in each of several branches of chemistry. The Division in which the student is majoring may require some of these courses. Each student is free within reasonable limits to pursue his or her own interests in course work beyond the core program. Additional course work elected by the student should not delay the prompt start of dissertation research.

2.9.b. Seminars: Each student is required to present at least one public seminar in his or her Division. The seminar may be given at any time during the student’s career and on any topic approved by the Major Advisor, including the results of the doctoral research. If the seminar is judged to be poor, an improved presentation may be required. The seminar requirement is in
addition to the proposal presentation. The student should register for Seminar (1 cr.) in the term in which the required seminar (not the Proposal) is presented.

From the start of one’s career in the Department, a student is expected to attend all formal meetings and seminars sponsored by their Division, and all Departmental Colloquia. Students are also encouraged to attend seminars in other Divisions. In addition, Oakland and the greater Pittsburgh area abound with scientific activities. Lectures are presented at the University of Pittsburgh, Carnegie-Mellon University, Duquesne University, and through the auspices of the local A.C.S. Section, The Spectroscopy Society of Pittsburgh, and the Society for Analytical Chemists of Pittsburgh.

2.9.c. Language Requirement: There is no foreign language requirement in the Ph.D. program. The following applies to students for whom English is not the native language.

The University is required by the English Fluency in Higher Education Act of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania to determine that all individuals who teach possess adequate English language fluency for effective communication with students. The English Language Institute (ELI) administers the English Comprehensibility Test to newly appointed TAs who are non-native English speakers in the week before classes begin in the fall. The test is scored 1 (poor) – 5 (very good). A score of 3 is required for laboratory teaching assistants, while a score of 4 is required for recitation instructors. Linguistics 0018, a class in speaking English, is required of students receiving scores of 1 or 2. Tutoring is required of students who get a score of 3. In both cases, a satisfactory grade in the course/tutoring must be earned, and the student’s score must increase by at least one (e.g., from 2 to 3 or from 3 to 4) at the next testing in the Spring term. The inability to attain adequate performance is grounds for nonrenewal of financial aid (TA, TF, GSR, GSA). Students who do not demonstrate sufficient improvement on the Comprehensibility Test face dismissal from the graduate program.

2.9.d. Teaching Requirement: At least two calendar terms of teaching experience that includes student contact are required of all Ph.D. candidates. The minimum teaching assignment will consist of one laboratory section or one recitation section per week for each of the two terms. Non-contact assignments, such as working in a stockroom or instrument room, do not fulfill this requirement. The teaching requirement must be fulfilled within the student’s first two calendar years in the graduate program. (Students who are non-native English speakers should note Section 2.9.c.)

2.9.e. Residency Requirement: A total of six terms of full residence are required for the Ph.D. degree. A term of full residence is defined as the satisfactory completion of four 3-credit courses or equivalent units of work. Students entering graduate school with an M.S. degree or the equivalent may be granted a waiver for two of the six terms. During any term that dissertation research is being pursued, a student must register for at least one-quarter residence. It is not possible to earn the Ph.D. degree by evening study alone.

2.9.f. Divisional Requirements: In addition to the requirements specified above, graduate students in the Ph.D. program must satisfy any supplemental requirements set forth by the various Divisions of the Department. These requirements are appended to this document.
3. THE M.S. DEGREE

The following is a summary of the requirements that must be satisfied by the candidate for the M.S. degree.

3.1. Course Requirements

Each M.S. student must complete a minimum of 12 credits of 2000- or 3000-level chemistry courses with a grade of B or higher (this is a university-wide requirement). Six of these credits must be in core courses; the remaining courses can be either in the student’s major area or in other fields. In addition, students elective to present a non-research thesis must take for credit one laboratory course (Chemistry 1250, 1430, 1440, 1600).

Each student must show proficiency in physical chemistry in one of the following ways: (1) achieve a 65% score on the Appraisal Examination in Physical Chemistry, (2) earn a grade of B or higher in both Chemistry 1410 and 1420 (or courses equivalent to these), or (3) earn a grade of B or higher in either Chemistry 2410 or 2420.

3.2. Comprehensive Examination

The M.S. Comprehensive examination consists of an examination by GSAC, with the approval of the Chemistry Department Faculty, of the student’s record in at least 12 credits of 2000- or 3000-level chemistry courses, including at least 6 credits of core courses, with a letter grade of B or better.

3.3. Minimum Progress Requirement in the M.S. Program

3.3.a: The student must also maintain a QPA of 3.00 or higher: if the QPA is below 3.00 the student is placed on academic probation and is not eligible for teaching appointments and is not eligible to for the Master’s comprehensive exam.

3.3.b: The Comprehensive Examination must be passed and a Major Advisor chosen by the end of four calendar terms in residence or before the completion of 24 credits, whichever is earlier.

GSAC monitors the progress of all students to ensure that the Minimum Progress Requirement is met. Satisfying this requirement is necessary for continuing in the graduate program.

3.4. Research and Thesis

The thesis for the M.S. degree is written under the supervision of a Thesis Advisor who is chosen by the student. It may consist of either an original research study or a comprehensive literature survey of some topic of current interest in chemistry. In either case, the thesis must be defended in an oral examination before a Thesis Examination Committee consisting of the Thesis Advisor as chairperson and two other members of the Graduate Faculty chosen by the Thesis Advisor and approved by the Department Chair. Copies of the thesis must be in the hands of the thesis committee at least two weeks prior to the defense date.
It is the responsibility of the M.S. candidate to ensure that the Chemistry receptionist and the Chemistry Web Master have a complete electronic copy of both the title page and the approved abstract of the thesis at least two weeks in advance of the defense date so that the public portion of the defense can be appropriately advertised.

3.5. Other Requirements

3.5.a. Language Requirement: There is no foreign language requirement in the M.S. program.

3.5.b. Residency Requirement: A minimum of two terms of full residence (see Section 2.7.e for definition) is required for the M.S. degree. No more than one-half term of residency may be waived in recognition of graduate work completed at another institution.

4.0. LEAVES OF ABSENCE

Under special conditions, graduate students may be granted one leave of absence. A maximum leave of two years may be granted to doctoral students or one year to master's students. The length and rationale for the leave of absence must be stated in advance, recommended to the dean by the Major Advisor or GSAC, and approved by the dean. If approved, the time of the leave shall not count against the total time allowed for the degree being sought by the student. Readmission following an approved leave of absence is a formality.

5.0. STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS

The purpose of the statute of limitations is to ensure that a graduate degree from the University of Pittsburgh represents mastery of current knowledge in the field of study. All requirements for the MS degree must be completed within a period of four consecutive calendar years from the student's initial registration for graduate study. From the student's initial registration for graduate study, all requirements for the Ph.D. degree must be completed within a period of ten years, or within eight years if the student has received credit for a master's degree. A student who is unable to complete all degree requirements within a five-year period after passing the comprehensive examination may be re-examined.
APPENDIX I; APPOINTMENT AND REAPPOINTMENT OF TEACHING ASSISTANTS

A. Appointment

Teaching Assistantships will be awarded on a two- or three-term basis to qualified applicants. First-year students who do not receive Teaching Assistantships initially may be considered for appointment at a later time, if they are qualified.

The Admissions Committee shall have the responsibility for the appointment of Teaching Assistants from the incoming class.

B. Reappointment

At the end of the first term of teaching, each Teaching Assistant’s performance will be scrupulously reviewed by the professor in charge of the course. If the student’s performance is not satisfactory (e.g., a grade lower than B in Chem 2970), the student will be advised that his or her appointment may not be renewed. Should the performance not improve by the end of the second term, the appointment will not be renewed for a second two- or three-term period. In addition, Teaching Assistantships will not be awarded to those who fail to satisfy the Minimum Progress Requirement or who fail to maintain a 3.00 QPA. All reappointments will be in compliance with the general regulations for the reappointment of graduate students in the Faculty of Arts and Sciences. Appointments and reappointments from the existing graduate student body will be made by the professor in charge of teaching assignments in consultation with the faculty. The number of reappointments will be dependent upon the Department’s undergraduate teaching schedule.

APPENDIX II. DISTRIBUTION OF DEPARTMENTAL RESOURCES

A. No more than 9 graduate student terms per year of Departmental support may be provided to any one research director.

B. Support by Departmental funds (see above) is normally limited to a total of 12 terms per student for the Ph.D. program. A student’s Doctoral Committee can extend the support for up to 3 additional terms, but such extension is not automatic. Departmental support for a student in the M.S. program is limited to 7 terms. Any extension beyond these limits for Ph.D. and M.S. candidates will be considered by the Department only on recommendation of the student’s Thesis Committee made in response to a petition by the student.
APPENDIX III. GRADING OF CORE COURSES

All grade requirements are to be evaluated in terms of the quality-point assignments given below.

It is the consensus of the faculty of the Chemistry Department that, since performance in the core courses is an important component of both the Ph.D. Preliminary and the M.S. Comprehensive examinations, it is desirable to maintain a reasonably uniform grading scale in all core courses. The following grading scheme may be regarded as typical and is presented here only for the guidance of students. It should be kept in mind that significant deviations from the scheme shown may occur as a result of small class size or atypical performance by a given class.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>QPA</th>
<th>Significance</th>
<th>% of Class (Approximate)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>Outstanding</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B+</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>Adequate</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C+</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Graduate Student Timeline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st and 2nd</td>
<td>Take Core Courses – Pass Preliminary Exam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>Choose research advisor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th</td>
<td>Choose Comprehensive Committee¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th</td>
<td>Submit Research Objective &amp; Plan of Procedure²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th</td>
<td>Meet with Comp Committee³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7th</td>
<td>Complete Comprehensive Exam⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13th</td>
<td>Meet with Dissertation Committee⁵</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Three-person committee (which includes your research advisor) need not include an outside-department faculty member. You should complete and return a green “Selection of the Comprehensive Committee Sheet” to Fran. (Fran has copies of this form.)

² Last day of the first full week of this term you must deliver to the main office a written statement of no more than two pages. This statement, written by you and approved by your advisor, will describe your research objective and your plan of procedure. This document will serve to ensure that all the committee members know you and are informed of your plans and progress.

³ During beginning of this term you should have a brief meeting (less than 30 minutes) with your committee to discuss your work and its progress. Retrieve green sheet from Fran, have your committee members sign the form to indicate their involvement in the meeting, and return the form to Fran. You are encouraged to see advice/guidance from committee members throughout your second year and beyond.

⁴ Schedule and complete your comprehensive exam prior to the completion of your seventh term. Exceptions will be granted only with the approval by GSAC; requests for extensions must be provided in writing by your research advisor to GSAC no later than the fourth week of the seventh term. The seven-term time interval will be decreased by one term for each three credits of core course work from which the student is exempted, except that in no case will the time be reduced to below four terms. Permission to delay the comprehensive exam is not automatic. A meeting with GSAC may be required.

The term after passing the comprehensive exam you should establish a Doctoral Committee, in consultation with your research advisor, and apply for candidacy (see Fran for form).

⁵ During your 13th term and annually thereafter, you must meet with the Chemistry Department members of your dissertation committee. (This meeting is not necessary for students defending in their 13th term.) A two-page document summarizing the expected dissertation, a statement as to the status of the research proposal, and an updated CV should be turned into the committee by the last day of the first full week of the 13th term. At this meeting an exit strategy should be presented by the student and agreed upon. At the end of each meeting you and your faculty committee members will complete the purple “Candidacy Progress” form and return to Fran.
APPENDIX V: DEFENSE OF DISSERTATIONS

MEMOS

TO: Deans and Department Chairs in Schools with Doctoral Programs

FROM: Elisabeth Baranger

DATE: November 14, 2001

SUBJECT: Defense of Dissertations

The oral defense of the dissertation is an important finale to a very long process. It provides the student the opportunity to demonstrate not only the master of a body of knowledge but the ability to explain and defend ideas expressed in the dissertation. It enables the dissertation committee to complete their involvement with the student’s research project and to reaffirm that the student independently engaged in the research project and fully understands its significance. All members of the committee should be present for the defense.

The time and place of a final oral defense should be posted appropriately in departments or schools. It also should be made known to the wider University community by sending this information, in addition to the name of the title of the dissertation, to: John Harvath, Assistant Vice Chancellor, Office of Public Affairs, 400 Craig Hall.

******************************************************************************

To: All students arranging a M.S. Thesis Submission or Ph.D. Dissertation Defense
Cc: All members of the Graduate Faculty
From: ChemWebLackey (Joe G.)
Date: 12 March 1999
Re: Publicity for the Thesis Defense

To acknowledge your hard work, effective 1 January 1999, the Department will be posting all Thesis/Dissertation Seminars and Abstracts on our Web page. However, we need your help to achieve this in a useful manner. **Two weeks before the actual Dissertation Defense or Thesis Submission**, you should e-mail the material (in electronic form!) noted below to "chemweb@pitt.edu". If your abstract and/or title uses special characters or structures (drawings/figures), you can also provide the material by way of a standard 1.4MB floppy disk (labeled with your name/ date and programs used to create the enclosed files). If you provide the material on diskette, you still need to e-mail "chemweb@pitt.edu" in order to notify them of where and when the disk can be found. If you have any questions, please e-mail them to "chemweb@pitt.edu" well in advance of the two-week deadline.

Information needed (essentially just the title page and abstract page of your thesis)…. 
1. Your complete, professional name.
2. University and date (month/year) for your most recent non-Pitt degree.
3. Date, time, and location of the public seminar associated with your defense (if appropriate).
4. Names of all members of your committee with your advisor clearly indicated.
5. Title of your Thesis or Dissertation
6. Type of Degree being awarded (M.S. or Ph.D.).
7. Abstract of your thesis/dissertation (the same one that appears in the front of your thesis).
Doctoral Program in Analytical Chemistry  
University of Pittsburgh, Fall 2005

General regulations are listed in the Bulletin of the Faculty of Arts and Sciences and in the Fall 2002 revision of regulations by the Department of Chemistry. The information below applies specifically to students choosing to emphasize Analytical Chemistry.

**COURSE REQUIREMENTS**

Core courses offered by the Analytical Division include Electrochemistry (Chem 2210), Chemical Separations (Chem 2220), and Analytical Spectroscopy (Chem 2230). Students majoring in Analytical Chemistry will ordinarily take all three courses. However, only two can be counted toward the Preliminary Examination. Beyond the required core courses, students may choose to take an additional 3-6 credits depending on their own interests, the advice of the Graduate Student Advisement Committee, or the recommendation of their main advisor.

**SEMINAR REQUIREMENTS**

The seminar program is a vital component of graduate education. For that reason, students should attend all seminars sponsored by the Analytical Division, including those presented by fellow students and by invited speakers. Beginning students who are considering joining the Analytical Division are urged to attend carefully selected seminars in the each of the Divisions. Students should also attend all the department-wide seminars (Kaufman, Bayer, Pittsburgh Conference, Phillips, and Dowd). Regular participation in seminars is a clear sign of commitment and motivation.

Because excellent presentation skills are vital to a successful career in chemistry, each doctoral student is also required to present at least two seminars. One seminar will be on the subject of the student’s Proposal (see below) and one will be a report on the student’s own dissertation. For each seminar, a title and one-page abstract should be delivered to the faculty member in charge of seminars two weeks before the presentation. Since the proposal seminar within the Analytical Division is open to the public, the candidate must ensure that the seminar title, the candidate’s complete professional name, dissertation advisor, seminar room and time, be sent by e-mail to the Chemistry Web Master two weeks prior to the seminar. The same information must be made available to the front office so that appropriate flyers can be created and posted within the Chemistry Department.

**COMPREHENSIVE EXAMINATION**

A Committee consisting of the student’s main advisor and two other faculty members will conduct the Comprehensive Examination. Each student, in consultation with the main advisor, will select the committee members. The committee will meet with the student by the deadline stipulated in the department rules (see above). The purpose of the meeting is to evaluate the student’s complete record of accomplishment. At least one week before the meeting, each student will submit a written report that discusses in detail the background of their research problem, their progress to date, and their projected future research plans. During the meeting, the student will present an oral report on their research project and respond to the
questions of the committee members. The questions will usually focus on the written and oral report but may also address general aspects of recent seminars, course work, and relevant current literature. Based on the student’s overall performance during the Comprehensive Examination, the Committee will recommend either that the student proceed to candidacy for the Ph.D. degree, or that the student should complete whatever work is necessary to obtain an M.S. degree only. In the latter case, the research report shall be expanded into an M.S. thesis.

PROPOSAL

The topic of the proposal must be outside the immediate area of the student’s research. It is recommended that the proposal be defended before the end of the tenth term. The student must first present a one- to two-page abstract of the proposal to the Division Chair for approval. The Division Chair may approve or reject the topic, or may request modifications of the abstract before giving final approval. The major factors in the decision to accept the topic are the creativity, originality, and significance of the proposal. In consultation with the Division Chair, the student will select a Mentor and two other faculty members to serve on the Proposal Committee. The Dissertation Advisor is a nonvoting member of the Proposal Committee. The student is advised to present the abstract to prospective committee members for approval before preparing the detailed proposal. The detailed proposal, typically 20-30 pages in length, is then prepared under the guidance of the Mentor. The role of the Mentor is to provide guidance on the format of the proposal rather than the scientific content. The detailed proposal must be submitted to the members of the Proposal Committee at least two weeks before the proposal exam, which will consist of a public seminar followed by questioning by the Proposal Committee.

Our general expectations are described in the departmental regulations, “Information and Regulations Pertaining to the M.S. and Ph.D. Programs in Chemistry” (Fall 2001, item 2.5, p.8.) We regard the proposal as a crucial component of the training of a doctoral student, and we urge students to take full advantage of this learning experience.

It should be noted that keeping up to date with the current literature and regularly attending seminars is likely to be of considerable help in the formulation of a successful proposal.

DISSERTATION

The student will meet with the Doctoral Committee, consisting ordinarily of the Comprehensive Examination Committee plus one Graduate Faculty member from another department of the University, for an Overview no later than two weeks before the end of the eleventh term. The purpose of the Overview is to advise the student on research to be carried out in the final stages of his/her program and to facilitate preparation of the dissertation.
APPENDIX

Recommended Schedule

This schedule is considered to be realistic for a student who entered without serious academic deficiencies and who experiences no delays because of repetition of courses or other requirements. Exceptionally able or well prepared students may complete the program in shorter time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Activities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First Year</td>
<td>Core courses (1&lt;sup&gt;st&lt;/sup&gt; and 2&lt;sup&gt;nd&lt;/sup&gt; terms)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Begin research (2&lt;sup&gt;nd&lt;/sup&gt;, 3&lt;sup&gt;rd&lt;/sup&gt; terms)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Year</td>
<td>Pick committee (4&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; term)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prepare Research Objective and Plan (4&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; term)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deliver Research Objective and Plan (5&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; term)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third Year</td>
<td>Comprehensive Examination (7th term)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fourth Year</td>
<td>Proposal and Seminar (10th term)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fifth Year</td>
<td>Research Overview (13&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; term)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Doctoral Program in Biological Chemistry

University of Pittsburgh, Fall 2005

General regulations are listed in the Bulletin of the Faculty of Arts and Sciences and in the Fall 2002 revision of regulations by the Department of Chemistry. In the information below the essential features of these general regulations are repeated, and regulations and recommendations applying specifically to Biological Chemistry students are described in greater detail.

COURSE REQUIREMENTS FOR PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION

Advanced courses in Biological Chemistry include Biological Chemistry I (Chem 2810) and Biological Chemistry II (Chem 2820). For students wishing to take the pair of Biological Chemistry courses as their concentration, and who wish to pursue research in this general area will also take one core course from the home division of their research advisor, and one other core course. Students will satisfy all of the other course requirements of the research director’s home division (the one exception being the need to take the divisional pair of core courses).

SEMINAR REQUIREMENTS

The seminar program is a vital component of graduate education. Students are urged to attend seminars addressing topic in Biological Chemistry. Furthermore, attendance at the major departmental seminars (Kaufman, Bayer, Pittsburgh Conference, Phillips and Dowd) is expected.

Each doctoral student is required to present two seminars. The first will be the Proposal. The second usually will be a Dissertation report. A title, a one-page abstract, and a bibliography of the most important references should be delivered to the faculty member in charge of seminars two weeks before the presentation.

COMPREHENSIVE EXAMINATION

The Comprehensive Examination will follow the regulations of the Research Director’s home Division.

PROPOSAL

The Proposal preparation and defense will follow the regulations of the Research Director’s home Division.
Doctoral Program in Inorganic Chemistry

University of Pittsburgh, Fall 2005

General regulations are set forth in the Bulletin of the Faculty of Arts and Sciences (for University requirements) and in the Fall 2002 revision of regulations by the Chemistry Department (for Department requirements). The information provided here is intended to clarify procedures and to describe additional requirements as they apply to doctoral students in Inorganic Chemistry.

SEMINAR REQUIREMENT

Each student is required to present one public seminar about his or her dissertation research. He or she should register for Chem 2190 during the term in which the seminar is to be presented.

COURSE REQUIREMENTS

Students are required to take both inorganic core courses, Chem 2110 and 2120; to take three credits of inorganic minicourses (chosen from Chem 3100, 3110, 3120) if these have been offered during the period of a student’s second year through his/her graduation year; and to audit formally three other credits of minicourses.

One other graduate course (1, 2, or 3 credits) approved by the Research Director may be substituted for one of the minicourses to be taken for credit. One or two other graduate courses (1, 2, or 3 credits each, taken for credit or audited) approved by the Research Director may be substituted, on a course for course basis, for one or two of the minicourses to be audited.

COMPREHENSIVE EXAMINATION

A written summary of the student’s dissertation research problem, including background material and literature references, the work to date carried out by the student, and future research plans, should be submitted to each member of the Comprehensive Examination Committee at least two weeks before the scheduled oral examination. The summary can vary in length, depending upon the particular research problem, but is generally 20-35 typed pages.

PROPOSAL

For inorganic doctoral students, the proposal must be successfully defended a minimum of four months before the final oral defense of the dissertation. Exceptions to this rule for necessities such as leaving for an employment position, etc. will be made on a case by case basis. The proposal is to be presented as a public seminar.
General regulations are set forth in the Bulletin of the Faculty of Arts and Sciences (for University requirements) and, in the Fall 2001, revision of regulations by the Chemistry Department (for Department requirements). The information provided here is intended to clarify procedures and to describe additional requirements as they apply to Organic Chemistry students.

COURSES

Organic students are required to take both organic core courses (Chemistry 2310 and 2320) and Chemistry 1380/2380 (Techniques of Organic Research). Advanced courses in the Division are treated in a two-year cycle of one month, one credit modular units (Minicourses) on Special Topics (Chemistry 3300, 3310, 3320). This program is designed to give advanced students exposure to new developments outside their area of concentration. Each doctoral candidate is required to take for credit a total of three credits of advanced-level minicourses during his or her residence, but is encouraged to audit others. No single course can be used to account for all 3 credits, and a QPA of 3.00 or greater is required. In order to ensure maximum freedom of choice, students are advised to register for all three courses (3300, 3310, 3320) during any term in which he or she plans to take one minicourse for credit; before the final examination in the course, the student informs the instructor whether he or she wishes to take the course for credit or audit.

COMPREHENSIVE EXAMINATION

The Organic Division requires that the written summary of the research problem include a discussion of the background of the research problem and of the experimental results to date. In addition, positive results should be described in as full experimental detail as that required for a thesis.

PROPOSAL

Organic doctoral students must submit the proposal by the end of their 12th term of graduate study.

SEMINARS

Among the various methods of fulfilling the Department’s requirement of a seminar presentation are: 1) a public presentation of an original proposal or literature review 2) a seminar based on the student’s graduate research.
General regulations are set forth in the Bulletin of the Faculty of Arts and Sciences (for University requirements) and in the Fall, 2002 revision of regulations of the Chemistry Department (for Department requirements). The information provided here is intended to clarify procedures and to describe additional requirements as they apply to Physical Chemistry students.

COURSE REQUIREMENTS

Physical Chemistry doctoral students are required to take both Physical Chemistry core courses; Chemistry 2430 and 2440. The Graduate Student Advisement Committee and/or the Research Advisor will recommend additional core and advanced courses.

COMPREHENSIVE EXAMINATION

A written summary of the student’s dissertation research problem, including background material and literature references, the work to date carried out by the student, and future research plans, must be submitted to each member of the Comprehensive Examination Committee at least two weeks before the scheduled oral examination. The summary can vary in length, depending upon the particular research problem, but is generally 15-20 typed pages. The student is reminded of the general requirements that, in addition to defending the thesis problem, the student will be expected to show a command of the more general material in physical chemistry and other relevant graduate courses that he/she has taken.

DISSERTATION REVIEW

Subsequent to the Comprehensive Exam, within the year and once each succeeding year, the student’s Dissertation Committee (the Comprehensive Examination Committee, unless otherwise specified) may meet with the student to review his/her progress toward the degree. Such a meeting may be requested by the student or a member of the Committee. Topics for discussion include research progress to date, course attendance, plans for completion, and career objectives. Records of these meetings will be kept by the Divisional Chair, to whom the major advisor is to report.

PROPOSAL

For Physical Chemistry doctoral students entering the Ph.D. program in the Fall Term of 1990 or later, the student should obtain approval of the Proposal topic from his/her Mentor no later than 12 months after the Comprehensive Exam, and should defend the Proposal within the succeeding six months. The Proposal requirement must be satisfied by the end of the student’s tenth term in residence. The written Proposal must not exceed 20 pages, double spaced text, and must be submitted to each member of the Examination Committee at least two weeks prior to the oral defense.

SUBMISSION OF DISSERTATION or THESIS

The dissertation for the Ph.D. or thesis for the M.S. degree must be submitted to each member of the Examination Committee at least two weeks before the date of oral defense.
CHEMICAL PHYSICS OPTION

The Department, in collaboration with the Department of Physics, has instituted a Certificate Program for students who wish to concentrate their Ph.D. studies in Chemical Physics. The following is a summary of the requirements that must be satisfied by students in this program.

COURSE REQUIREMENTS

Seven core courses are required. These include Thermodynamics and Statistical Mechanics, 1 term (Chemistry 2440); Quantum Mechanics, 3 terms (Chemistry 2430 and Physics 2565 and 2566); Electricity and Magnetism, 2 terms (Physics 2555 and 2558); and Laboratory Electronics, 1 term (Physics 2675). In addition, two elective courses are required, one from Chemistry and one from Physics. Appropriate choices include Molecular Spectroscopy (Chemistry 2530), Magnetic Resonance (Chemistry 2540), Advanced Quantum Chemistry (Chemistry 3430), Statistical Mechanics of Interacting Systems (Chemistry 3470), Molecular Collision Dynamics (Chemistry 3490), Atomic Collisions (Physics 3705), Atomic Structure and Interactions (Physics 3706), and Solid State Physics (Physics 3715). Other elective courses may be selected if approved by the Chemical Physics Steering Committee. Students must maintain a QPA of 3.0 or better in all nine courses.

COMPREHENSIVE EXAMINATION

By the end of their second year of residence, students will be required to pass a written examination which tests their mastery of coursework. This examination will be a modified version of that given to graduate students in the Physics Ph.D. program, with problems on subjects like special relativity replaced by problems on more chemically relevant topics like molecular spectroscopy, liquid state behavior, etc.

In order to maximize the probability of success, the following course of action is strongly recommended for students in the Chemical Physics Option. In the Physics Department, the Comprehensive Exam is administered in the late spring of each year. In the early spring, a “Preliminary” Exam is offered which essentially is a practice test for the real Comprehensive. It is taken by all first-year Physics Ph.D. students. Physics students who do well on the Preliminary Exam are encouraged to attempt the Comprehensive after their first year of classes. The suggested procedure for Chemical Physics Option students in the Chemistry Ph.D. program is to take the Physics Preliminary Exam in the first year (again, this exam will be modified for Chemistry students to guarantee relevance to Chemical Physics), and, if recommended, to take the Comprehensive Exam as well. The student will thus be provided with a gauge of his/her probability of successfully passing the Chemical Physics Comprehensive after the second year. Students who wish to revert from the Chemical Physics Option to the “straight” Chemistry Ph.D. may do so at this time, pending approval by the Chemical Physics Steering Committee. (Reversion to the normal Chemistry Ph.D. program implies that all requirements for the normal Chemistry Ph.D. be fulfilled. See above.) If the student fails the Comprehensive Exam after the second year, he/she must then terminate with a Masters Degree in Chemistry (see Sect. 3.6).
EVALUATION OF STUDENT RESEARCH FOLLOWING COMPREHENSIVE EXAMINATION

Within one year of completion of the Comprehensive Examination, a committee consisting of the research advisor and two faculty advisors selected by the student from the Chemistry and Physics Department will begin to meet on a yearly basis to evaluate the student’s progress in research. The student will present a progress report on his/her research project at each meeting of this committee.

PROPOSAL FOR CHEMICAL PHYSICS OPTION

No proposal will be required of students in the Chemical Physics Option.

REQUIREMENTS FOR MASTERS IN CHEMICAL PHYSICS PROGRAM

If the student fails the Comprehensive Examination, he/she may obtain a masters degree if, in addition to achieving at least a 3.0 QPA in the coursework described in the Course Requirements Section, a thesis is written under the supervision of a thesis advisor who is chosen by the student. It may consist of either an original research study or a comprehensive library survey of some topic of current interest in chemical physics. In either case, the thesis must be defended in an oral examination before a Thesis Examination Committee consisting of the Thesis Advisor as Chairperson and two other members of the Graduate Faculty chosen by the Thesis Advisor and approved by the Department Chair. The “Other Requirements” noted in that section also apply to Masters students in the Chemical Physics Program.
ADVANCED UNDERGRADUATE/GRADUATE COURSES

1130/2180  Inorganic Chemistry  3 cr.

Modern bonding theories are developed to the level that permits some understanding of the effects of structure and bonding on chemical properties. Periodic relationships are discussed and applied to selected families of elements. Emphasis is placed on those aspects of structure, bonding and periodic relationships that are helpful in unifying a large body of chemical knowledge. Selected topics of current interest in inorganic chemistry are discussed.

1210/3210  Digital Electronics  2 cr.
Lecture and Laboratory

This basic course investigates digital electronics and applications of small computers in labs. The emphasis will be a “hands-on” lab in conjunction with lecture. The introduction to digital electronics includes basics of digital logic systems and implementation using integrated circuits. Labs utilize circuits in simple experiments designed to demonstrate various parameters associated with building blocks of digital systems. Second half of course covers microcomputer architecture and hardware, peripherals, software and interfacing. Experiments allow students to interface devices to computer.

1230/3230  Chemometrics  2 cr.

To yield information, an experiment must be thoughtfully designed and the data carefully interpreted. The object of this course is to teach statistical. Methods of experimental design to students in chemistry. The student will learn to design experiments so that information can always be gotten from the results, even though a practical goal may not have been reached. The following are covered: probability distributions (those tables in the back of the book!), regression analysis and curve fitting, experimental design modeling, optimization and factor analysis.

1260/2260  Intermediate Analytical Chemistry  2 cr.

The major part of the course deals with the principles and applications of selected analytical methods that are of unusual current interest, including nuclear magnetic resonance mass spectroscopy, electron spectroscopy, x-ray spectroscopy and Fourier transform spectroscopy. The information obtained and the relative advantages of these techniques for the analysis of a wide range of “real world” samples will be critically evaluated.

1310/2370  Synthetic Organic Chemistry  2 cr.

Reactions of major interest and wide applicability in synthetic chemistry are presented. Reaction mechanisms and the effect of structure on reactivity are stressed and emphasis is placed on recently developed methods.
1380/2380  Techniques of Organic Research  2 cr.

A course which serves as a guide to the interpretation of ultraviolet, infrared, nuclear magnetic resonance and mass spectra of organic compounds.

1450/3450  Molecular Modeling and Graphics  1 cr.

This course will introduce the student to computational methods to determine molecular structures and stabilities, Monte Carlo and molecular dynamics simulation methods, and the use of graphics for displaying structures, charge densities, and other properties. Use will be made of both microcomputers and the Cray XMP at the Pittsburgh Supercomputing Center.
GRADUATE LEVEL COURSES

2110 Advanced Inorganic Chemistry I 3 cr.

Group theory and molecular symmetry, with emphasis on the application to the theoretical aspects of bonding in inorganic and organometallic complexes, as well as to the experimental techniques (magnetometry and electronic, vibrational, and photoelectron spectroscopy) typically used to elucidate the nature of intramolecular interactions in the ground and electronic excited states of these species.

2120 Advanced Inorganic Chemistry II 3 cr.

This course is intended to provide a solid background in modern inorganic chemistry for those doing research in all branches of chemistry. Much of the course materials is descriptive, but the objective of the selection and presentation of the material will be to develop an understanding of the principles controlling the structures and reactivity of inorganic materials. All areas of inorganic chemistry will be discussed but the course will emphasize areas of current research interest including transition metal chemistry, organometallic chemistry and solid state chemistry.

2180(1130) Inorganic Chemistry 3 cr.

Modern bonding theories are developed to the level that permits some understanding of the effects of structure and bonding on chemical properties. Periodic relationships are discussed and applied to selected families of elements. Emphasis is placed on those aspects of structure, bonding and periodic relationships that are helpful in unifying a large body of chemical knowledge. Selected topics of current interest in inorganic Chemistry are discussed.

2190 Seminar in Inorganic Chemistry 1 cr.

Only students planning to present a seminar should register for this course. Others may attend without registering.

2210 Electroanalytical Chemistry 3 cr.

Fundamental electrode processes common to all electrochemical methods: thermodynamics and kinetics of electrode processes; reaction coordinate diagrams for faradaic currents; exchange current and activation polarization; non-faradaic currents and electric double layer. Mass transfer processes. Linear sweep, cyclic and pulse voltammetric methods and their application to analysis as well as the study of reaction mechanisms and problems in battery technology, electrocatalysis, photovoltaic cells and chemical modified electrodes.
2220 Chemical Separations 3 cr.

A broad thermodynamic and kinetic framework encompassing all chemical separations is used to classify techniques. Concepts such as separation efficiency are generalized. The most powerful and widely used separations techniques are chromatographic, thus solution chemistry will be discussed to provide a chemical framework for chromatography. The use of gas and liquid chromatography will be presented.

2230 Analytical Spectroscopy 3 cr.

Fundamentals of optical spectroscopic methods of analysis for determining composition and structure. The course includes analytical applications as well as mechanisms and instrumentation. Recent developments such as Fourier transform IR spectroscopy and the use of lasers in spectroscopy are emphasized.

2260(1260) Intermediate Analytical Chemistry 2 cr.

The major part of the course deals with the principles and applications of selected analytical methods that are of unusual current interest, including nuclear magnetic resonance mass spectroscopy, electron spectroscopy, X-ray spectroscopy and Fourier transform spectroscopy. The information obtained and the relative advantages of these techniques for the analysis of a wide range of “Real World” samples will be critically evaluated.

2290 Seminar in Analytical Chemistry 1 cr.

Only students planning to present a seminar should register for this course. Others may attend without registering.


A qualitative discussion of modern mechanistic interpretations of relations between structure and reactivity. Special emphasis is placed on the roles of reactive intermediates such as carbonium ions, carbanions, carbenes and radicals. Study of the factors that influence the equilibria and reaction mechanisms of organic molecules.


A course designed to cover modern synthetic methods for the assembly of complex organic molecules (stereospecific olefin synthesis), cycloaddition reactions, sigmatropic rearrangements, organometallic chemistry, natural product synthesis.
2370(1310) Synthetic Organic Chemistry 2 cr.

Reactions of major interest and wide applicability in synthetic chemistry are presented. Reaction mechanisms and the effect of structure on reactivity are stressed and emphasis is placed on recently developed methods.

2380(1380) Techniques of Organic Research 2 cr.

A course which serves as a guide to the interpretation of ultraviolet, infrared, nuclear magnetic resonance and mass spectra of organic compounds.

2390 Seminar in Organic Chemistry 1 cr.

Only students planning to present a seminar should register for this course. Others may attend without registering.

2430 Quantum Mechanics & Kinetics 3 cr.

This course attempts to provide an understanding of chemical phenomena at a fundamental level. It begins with the development of the quantum theory and its application to simple systems, considers next molecular structure and chemical bonding in small molecules, and progresses to the interpretation of the behavior of larger molecules through approximate molecular orbital theory. An introduction to experimental and theoretical chemical kinetics is also given. The emphasis is on the application of the basic concepts of physical chemistry to a wide variety of systems, both organic and inorganic.

2440 Thermodynamics & Statistical Mechanics 3 cr.

Development of equilibrium statistical mechanics and thermodynamics. Applications to chemical systems. These applications include solutions, phase transitions (ising model) and reaction theory.

2490 Seminar in Physical Chemistry 1 cr.

Only students planning to present a seminar should register for this course. Others may attend without registering.

2530 Molecular Spectroscopy 3 cr.

This course is a one-term introduction to the subject and covers atoms, and diatomic and polyatomic molecules. The main topics are: a) theory of the absorption and emission of light, and of selection rules; b) rotational spectra; c) vibrational spectra; d) electronic spectra and e) laser spectroscopy.
2550  Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy  3 cr.

   An introduction to the fields of nuclear magnetic resonance and electron parametric resonance spectroscopy for persons with no previous experience in these fields.

2600  Synthesis & Characterization Polymer 3/4 cr

   Synthesis and characterization of polymers is focus of course. Current methods of polymer synthesis will be surveyed, practical implementation of reactions and kinetic consequences of reaction strategies in homopolymer, copolymer and block copolymer synthesis. Techniques for characterization of polymer molecular weight, chemical composition, and stereochemistry (FT-IR, NMR, other spectroscopic and chemical methods) will be discussed. Brief treatment of polymer solution thermodynamics and selected topics in polymer chemistry will be discussed.

2610  Synthesis & Characterization Polymer Lab 0 cr.

   Same as above.

2620  Atoms, Molecules and New Materials  3 cr.

   This course will use qualitative molecular orbital theory to understand the design and performance of new materials. A link will be made between “small molecule” intuition and the solid-state perspective on large molecules, clusters and solids.

2810  Biological Chemistry 1  3 cr.

   This course considers the chemical properties of amino acids, oligopeptides, and proteins, the biosynthesis of proteins, the physical interactions that determine the properties of proteins, the conformational properties of polypeptide chains and biomacromolecules, integral membrane proteins and ion channels, interactions between proteins and other molecules, catalytic antibodies, and biosynthesis of small molecules.

2820  Biological Chemistry 2  3 cr.

   This course focuses on long range chemical communication in biological systems: self assembled structures (membrane, cytoskeleton, respiration, photosynthesis); communicating systems (nerves, hormones); nonequilibrium structures, molecular transport and kinetics; control systems (e.g., regulation of DNA transcription, cell cycles, redox "buffering" and antioxidants) and measurements on systems.
3210(1210)  Digital Electronics  2 cr.

This basic course investigates digital electronics and application of small computer in lab. The emphasis will be a “hands-on” lab in conjunction with lecture. The introduction to digital electronics includes basics of digital logic systems and implementation using integrated circuits. Labs utilize circuits in simple experiments designed to demonstrate various parameters associated with building blocks of digital systems.

3430  Advanced Quantum Mechanics  3 cr.

This advanced quantum mechanics course will cover the treatment of angular momentum in electronic stages, scattering and spectroscopy. The use of Clebsch-Gordon and Wigner coefficients to analyze angular momentum coupling and recoupling will be discussed, as will be methods to create and measure polarization and alignment in molecules.

3440  Time Dependent Quantum Dynamics  3 cr.

This advanced quantum dynamics course covers scattering theory, interaction of light and matter (spectroscopy), Motion of quantum systems coupled to condense phase environments, and numerical wavepacket dynamics algorithms.

3450(1450)  Molecular Modeling and Graphics  3 cr.

This course will introduce the student to computational methods to determine molecular structures and stabilities, Monte Carlo and molecular dynamics simulation methods, and the use of graphics for displaying structures, charge densities, and other properties. Use will be made of both microcomputers and the Cray C-90 at the Pittsburgh Supercomputing Center.

3460(1460)  Numerical Methods in Chemistry  3 cr.

This course will emphasize the application of microcomputers and numerical methods for solving problems of importance in chemistry. Methods to be studied include solving systems of linear and differential equations, least squares fitting, Eigenvalue problems, solution of nonlinear equations.

3470  Statistical Mechanics  3 cr.

The first part (2/3) of the course will be an exposition of equilibrium statistical mechanics. Topics covered will be ensembles, partition functions for various degrees of freedom, quantum statistics, and integral equations for liquids. the second part (1/3) of the course will explore nonequilibrium statistical mechanics. Topics discussed will be the Boltzmann equation, time correlation functions and linear response theory, and generalized hydrodynamics.
3480  Chemical Kinetics      3 cr.

A general treatment of the rates of gas phase reactions with emphasis on theories and experimental measurements of elementary rate processes. Principle topics include data handling, mechanisms, and transport effects; two-body unimolecular, and recombination reactions; and special topics such as explosions, flames, shock tube kinetics excited states, and energy transfer.

3490  Molecular Collision Dynamics      3 cr.

Elastic, inelastic and reactive collisions between molecules are described using classical, semiclassical and quantum mechanical theories. Experimental techniques for the study of single collisions are discussed and illustrated.
The teaching of chemistry by Teaching Assistants and Teaching Fellows is both a responsibility and a privilege. You have been appointed to your position because the Department believes you have the ability necessary to pursue your own graduate studies and at the same time to assist in the education of undergraduate students. It is expected that the latter activity will deepen your own knowledge of chemistry.

There are several excellent handbooks which will give you more information on the role of teaching assistants, and on how to become more effective as a TA. You should start by reading the Handbook for Teaching Assistants published by the Office of Faculty Development at the University of Pittsburgh. The American Chemical Society publishes a Handbook for Teaching Assistants, specifically designed for TAs in chemistry.

Finally, the Manual for Foreign Teaching Assistants published by the University of Iowa, is especially helpful to TAs who may not be totally familiar with customs and practices in American universities. If you have questions about your role, or procedures, ask your teaching supervisor. He or she will be willing to help you.

As teachers, you will probably put your greatest effort into trying to convey concepts and skills clearly, accurately, and (we hope) enthusiastically. You should also be aware of how you are interacting with the class, and of how your students think you are treating them. The atmosphere of a class and the degree of participation are established early. You should think seriously about how you can encourage an atmosphere that is effective, cordial, and egalitarian. At the same time, remember that you are the “authority figure,” and not one of the students.

It’s very easy to complain about students not participating. You should understand that lack of participation has many origins, including their perception of you. Do the students feel that you’ll think they’re stupid if they ask a question? Are shy students squeezed out? Do the students interrupt each other in a way that makes some students shrink into their chairs for the whole term? Keep in mind that some students may feel less comfortable participating.

Positive feedback from you can be very encouraging. Think about how you treat individuals and groups of students? Do you get impatient with students who have difficulty phrasing a question? Do you ask women questions differently than you ask men (or vice versa)? Do you make inappropriate personal remarks? You may never
have noticed yourself doing these things, but if they do happen, you can bet that your students will notice and react negatively. You may also find your students treating you in a manner that is improper, sexist, or even harassing. Again, it is up to you to establish a clear teacher-student relationship. If you find you’re having difficulties, it’s not necessarily your fault. Talk with the person in charge of the course, with other professors in the Department or with the Associate Chair if you feel that you need some guidance or if formal procedures seem warranted.

These are few rules to teaching. The two most important are: 1) treat your students with respect, as you should like to be treated; and 2) do not abuse your responsibility and authority. Beyond that, use good judgment. Classifying students’ abilities by nationality, sex or race is wrong and unacceptable. Sexist and racist comments, jokes, slurs, and the like have no place in the classroom. Disparaging comments about the course or the course instructor also have no place. To the best of your ability encourage all students.

The following sections describe: (A) the duties of Teaching Assistants and Teaching Fellows, (B) workloads and (C) procedures for evaluating teaching.

A. Duties of Teaching Assistants (TAs) and Teaching Fellows (TFs).

Duties of TAs and TFs are essentially the same. TFs are more experienced graduate students (having served at least two terms as TAs) who may be expected to handle more challenging assignments. [First year students with Teaching Assistantship awards do serve a third term as a TA rather than a TF if they teach during the summer term of their first year.] As a TA or TF you must:

1. **Prepare for and meet all assigned classes promptly at the schedule time and place.** If for any reason you cannot meet your class, you should inform the person in charge of the course in advance and with his/her permission arrange for an acceptable substitute. If unforeseen circumstances will make you late for class, inform the course coordinator immediately. The only excused absences from teaching duties are for illness or out-of-town research activities. Course instructors will normally refuse permission for other absences, and unexcused absences will be reflected in the final grade for the teaching assignment, and will jeopardize future TA appointments.

2. **Demonstrate principles of good laboratory safety** to the students and impress the importance of these principles on the students...for example, requiring students (and yourself) to wear safety goggles when working in the lab. Lab instructors seen not wearing goggles in the lab area will receive one warning. Each subsequent warning will result in a lowering of their final teaching grade by one grade-level.
3. *Grade quizzes and laboratory reports and return them promptly to students* in accordance with the procedure explained to you by the person in charge of your course.

4. *Proctor and grade lecture examinations.* You are expected to be flexible with your personal schedule so that exams may be graded in a timely fashion.

5. *Attend any scheduled meetings* with the person in charge of your course.

6. *Meet privately with individual students when they need help.* You must have 2-3 hours scheduled each week where students can reach you for questions.

7. *Be registered for CHEM 2970*—whether or not you need the credit—as you will obtain a grade for your teaching. (Exceptions may be made for TFs with excellent teaching records.) *Grades for CHEM 2970 do count in your overall grade point average.*

**B. Recommended Schedule for TA/TF Workloads**

*Note - Attending lectures is recommended but not required.*

Example - Chem 0110 & 0120

1. **Lab TA**

- 3 lab sections per week (24 students each)  
  (3 hours each section)  
  - attend 1 recitation section each week  
  - preparation for labs (weekly average)  
  - grade lab experiment (weekly average)  
  - weekly TA meeting with supervisor  
  - proctor and grade exams (weekly average)  
  - meeting with individual students  

  **TOTAL**  
  9 hrs  
  1 hr  
  2 hrs  
  3-4 hrs  
  1 hr  
  1 hr  
  2-3 hrs  
  **20 hrs**
2. Recitation TA

- 6 recitation sections per week (24 students each)
  (1 hour each section) 6 hrs
- preparation for recitation sections (weekly average) 3 hrs
- grade quizzes (weekly average) 4 hrs
- weekly meeting with supervisor 1 hr
- proctor and grade exam (weekly average) 1 hr
- meetings with individual students 4-5 hr

**TOTAL 19-20 hrs**

Example - Chem 0330 & 0340

1. Lab TA

- 3 lab sections per week (12 students each)
  (3 hours each section) 9 hrs
- attend 1 recitation section each week 1 hr
- preparation for labs (weekly average) 1 hr
- grade lab experiments (weekly average) 4 hrs
- weekly TA meeting with supervisor 1 hr
- proctor and grade exams (weekly average) 2 hrs
- meetings with individual students (weekly avg) 2 hrs

**TOTAL 20 hrs**

2. Recitation & Lab TA

- 2 recitation sections per week (1 hr each) 2 hrs
- 2 lab sections per week (3 hr each) 6 hrs
- preparation for recitation sections (weekly avg) 1-2 hrs
- preparation for labs (weekly average) 1 hr
- grading la experiments, writing and grading lab quizzles and exams (weekly average) 3-4 hrs
- weekly TA meeting with supervisor 1 hr
- proctor and grade exams (weekly average) 2 hrs
- meetings with individual students 2-3 hrs

**TOTAL 19-20 hrs**

C. Evaluation

Your teaching will be evaluated in a number of ways during the term. This evaluation will serve the basis of your grade for CHEM 2970.
1. During the term your class will be visited at various times by the person in charge of your course and, possibly by other faculty members. Try not to be disconcerted by these visits. Their purpose is to observe your class, to check on the accuracy of student comments and evaluations, and to see if there are any ways you can be helped in your teaching.

2. At the end of term (and at mid-term in some lab courses such as General Chemistry) students will complete questionnaires on TA performance. You will be informed of the results and will be encouraged to discuss them with the lab coordinator.

At the end of the term the person in charge of your course will assign you a grade based on the evaluation of your teaching (including evaluations by your students), and your overall performance as a TA (preparation, attendance, etc.).

Key performance issues may lead to an immediate drop in your teaching grade. Such issues include failure to apply basic safety rules (including negligence to wear or instruct students to wear safety goggles), failure to meet your class punctually, or failure to attend TA meetings. In all cases, warnings will be given before any action is taken, and you will be able to discuss the situation with the lab coordinator and others within the department.

The Chemistry Department has established the Safford Awards for Excellence in Graduate Student Teaching. Each year approximately six outstanding TA/TFs are selected to receive this award in recognition of their outstanding contribution to the Department’s undergraduate teaching mission. We encourage all of our TAs to strive for the excellence in teaching that these awards represent.

D. Other Considerations.

On occasion, because of lack of proficiency in English, a TA may be assigned grading and proctoring duties, stockroom duties, or instrument lab duties, in place of classroom duties. It is expected that all students whose English is deficient will correct that deficiency by attending required English courses, and eventually teach in the laboratory or classroom.

Persons in charge of courses should expect TAs to work in conformance with the standards set forth above. If they find that a TA is working less than the normal amount, they may assign additional course-related duties. If the TA is working more than the normal amount, the supervisor will try to lighten his/her load.

You have been given a copy of the University’s Guidelines on Academic Integrity which describes academic classroom situations and behaviors which under certain circumstances are unacceptable. As a lab or recitation TA/TF you may have to deal with issues of cheating or plagiarism in your class. If you suspect a student of cheating or plagiarism, first discuss the matter with the person in charge of the course to determine the best manner in which to approach and (if necessary) correct the problem.
Any TA or TF who experiences a problem connected with his/her teaching duties should try first to resolve it by talking with the person in charge of the course. If the problem cannot be resolved in this way, the TA or TF should see Fran Nagy.
I. SCOPE

This policy establishes the rights and responsibilities of all faculty, staff, and students who discover or invent a device, product, or method, while associated with the University, whether or not University time or facilities are used.

This policy also applies to all pending patent searches and/or applications and/or patents not yet licensed or sold as of January 1, 1978.

II. POLICY

When an invention is made, the inventor must promptly submit an Invention Disclosure Statement to the Office of Applied Research. See Procedure 11-02-01, Patent Rights and Technology Transfer.

Title to Patents

The University claims ownership and control of the worldwide patent rights which result from activities of its faculty, staff, and students. University “faculty and staff” includes all persons who hold any official faculty or staff relationship to the University, with the exception of those persons who render their services to the University on a gratuitous basis. This exception does not include faculty who are members of professional corporations affiliated with the University, even though the faculty may receive all or part of their compensation from the professional corporation. The inventor will normally receive thirty percent and the University seventy percent of the net financial returns from the sale, licensing, or other transfer of patent rights.

If, however, the inventor or another institution believes that the circumstances surrounding the invention, including such factors as support provided by other than the University, place where discovery was made, or lack of relevance to the regular work of the member of the faculty or staff, warrant another distribution, the inventor or the institution may request the University Patent Committee to review the circumstances. After review, the University Patent Committee may determine a different distribution of the net proceeds.

The University, as determined by the Patent Committee, may choose to waive all rights to a patent, thus granting the inventor permission to proceed in whatever manner he deems appropriate.
Patent rights resulting from research carried on by a student is fulfillment of requirements for an academic degree, including the preparation of a thesis or dissertation, are construed as making use of University resources and are subject to the provisions regarding title to patent.

Patent rights resulting from government-sponsored research grants, contracts, fellowships, or other such arrangement, are controlled by the terms of those agreements, but as between the University and faculty members and staff accepting such grants, patent rights are subject to the provisions herein regarding title to patents.

Patent rights resulting from the research grants or contracts of nongovernment agencies or sources are, as between the University and faculty members and staff, subject to the provisions herein regarding title to patents.

Management of Patents

Inventions and discoveries resulting from University research are administered and managed in a manner determined to be in the best interests of the public, the inventor, and the University. The responsibility for the management is through the University Patent Committee.

Patent Rights Fund

The Patent Rights Fund has been established to provide resources to obtain patents and maintain them, for filing in foreign countries, and other related uses as approved by the Patent Committee.

The fund will be reimbursed from any royalties or sales from patents for each case. (See “Distribution of Royalties or Sale of Patent Rights or other Technology Rights” below.)

There will be additional reimbursement to the fund, as provided below, to increase the amount in the fund for patent searches and obtaining and protecting patents.

Distribution of Income from Licensing or Sale of Patent Rights or Other Technology Rights

First income will reimburse the “Patent Rights Fund,” for legal, professional, and government fees paid for outside services.

The balance of proceeds from royalty or sale will be distributed as follows:

- 30% to the inventor(s) subject to any sponsoring agency limitations
- 35% to the above “Patent Rights Fund”

- 20% to a “Patent Research Development Fund,” to develop patentable material under the direction of the Office of Research. Any reduction in the inventor’s distribution would be added to this fund.

- 15% to the department of the inventor, for use in research at the direction of the inventor with the approval of the department administrator, if inventor is still a member of the faculty.

- In the event that total income for a patent or group of related patents or technology rights exceeds $100,000, the above distribution of royalties may be changed in accordance with a plan approved by the President of the University, but not so as to reduce the inventor’s share.

- In the event an inventor entitled to compensation under the paragraphs above, leaves the University either voluntarily or involuntary, such inventor will continue to remain entitled to receive payments under the paragraphs above, but will not in any fashion be entitled directly or indirectly to continue to receive or transfer any other rights or benefits to the department of the inventor. In the event of death of an inventor entitled to receive compensation under the paragraphs above, such payments will continue to be paid to the inventor’s estate and subsequently to those lawfully taking thereunder in accordance with a court approved distribution.

Public Disclosure/Publication

No public disclosure, publication, placing on sale or selling of the development must be made without prior approval of the Patent Committee.

All publication rights relating to sponsored research or research supported entirely or largely by University resources are reserved by the University for itself and its faculty members, staff, and student, subject to the following conditions.

- Publication may be withheld for a maximum period of six months if required for the filing of a patent application
- Any patent or commercial product mentioned in a publication may not be referred to by its trade name without the consent of both the University and the sponsoring agency.

- The University, on request, submits any publication to the sponsoring agency before printing and considers any recommendation may by the sponsoring agency. However, the University makes the final determination as to the form, scope, and content of such publication.

**Patent Clauses**

The terms of the invention, disclosure to other parties and patent clauses in agreements for sponsored research are subject to the review, negotiation, and acceptance by the Office of Research. In the event that clauses in any such agreement appear to be unusual or to require special consideration, the Office of Research will submit the agreement to the Patent Committee for its consideration and recommendation.

**Non-Patentable Inventions**

Certain discoveries and inventions, including trade secrets and know-how, may not be patentable but may have material commercial value or potential as revenue producers. These accomplishments are subject to the same policy as any patentable invention and will be considered by the Patent Committee on an individual basis.

**III REFERENCES**

Appendix D, Commentary and Instructions of General Counsel.

Procedure 11-02-01, Patent Right and Technology Transfer.

Policy 02-06-01, Outside Employment.

Policy 07-05-02, Conflict of Interest and Holding Public Office.
Guidelines on Academic Integrity
Student and Faculty Obligations and Hearing Procedures

Preface

Provided here are Academic Integrity Policy Guidelines based on the 1983-86 document which was initially approved by the Board of Trustees on the recommendation of the University Senate Council. The original document evolved from and represented careful deliberation among staff, Senate committees, and student leaders of the University. The purpose of this document is to clarify and codify the rights and responsibilities that are inherent in traditional faculty-student relationships, and to reflect procedural modifications that were approved, effective January 1, 1989 by the Chancellor.

In following the Guidelines, the faculty of each academic unit of the University are expected to adapt them to the circumstances of their own academic unit.

The Guidelines are designed to assure due process, equity, and prompt and objective review by third parties, with appropriate appeals procedures. There is a general intent to maintain confidentiality, to avoid unnecessary formality, and to resolve issues at the lowest possible level.

Faculty have a particular interest and responsibility in assuring that the Guidelines are adhered to, by virtue of their profession and their role as academic officials of the University. Any failure to follow these Guidelines would be harmful to the whole University community.

All members of the University community have access to advice and interpretation regarding these Guidelines. Students may consult with the Campus Judicial Coordinator, faculty may consult with their dean or school hearing officer, and may ask for any other guidance they need from the Office of the Provost.

In general, we seek to preserve the traditional freedoms and duties associated with academic endeavors. The University should work to preserve the rights and responsibilities of faculty and students in their relationships with one another. Just as faculty and students must be free to seek truth and to search for knowledge with open minds, they must also accept the responsibility that these activities entail maintaining the highest standards of integrity, mutual respect, and honest inquiry.

Historical Background

In March 1965, the Senate Council approved a policy statement on the subject of academic integrity. It was there declared, in language that is as true and vital today as then, that:
The University of Pittsburgh seeks excellence in the discovery and dissemination of knowledge. Excellence in scholarship cannot be achieved in situations which are contaminated by dishonest practices. All members of the University community are obligated to adhere strictly to the highest standards of integrity in study, research, instruction, and evaluation.

It is presumed that those who instruct and administer observe such standards of integrity. Administrators and senior faculty members are presumed further to encourage these standards among their junior colleagues. Students are presumed to accept the concept of academic integrity and to seek to live by it but they may need continuing clarification of the concept and guidance in its observance. Particularly, students need the assurance that those who work honestly will not suffer thereby in comparisons with the dishonest. Those who cannot or will not adopt the concept and practices of academic honesty do not belong within the University.

These principles are reaffirmed.

In February 1974, the Senate Committee on Tenure and Academic Freedom reported to the Senate Council, recommending a general statement on academic integrity as follows:

The integrity of the academic process requires fair and impartial evaluation on the part of faculty, and honest academic conduct on the part of students. To this end, students are expected to conduct themselves at a high level of responsibility in the fulfillment of the course of their study. It is the corresponding responsibility of faculty to make clear to students those standards by which students will be evaluated, and the resources permissible for use by students during the course of their study and evaluation. The educational process is perceived as a joint faculty-student enterprise which will perforce involve professional judgment by faculty and may involve--without penalty--reasoned exception by students to the data or views offered by faculty.

Consistent with these considerations (and without limiting their scope and application in their entirety to the academic programs of the University), faculty and students are directed to observe the following guidelines:

1. Faculty should meet and students should attend their classes when scheduled; faculty should be available at reasonable times for appointments with students and both parties should keep such appointments; faculty and students should make appropriate preparations for classes and other meetings; students should submit their assignments in a timely manner; and faculty should perform their grading duties in a timely manner.

2. The general content of a course or other academic program should be described with reasonable accuracy in catalogues or other written documents available to students. The content, objectives of and standards for evaluation (including the importance to be assigned various factors in academic evaluation) in a course should be described
by the faculty member at the first or second class meeting, preferably in a written hand-out.

3. Integrity of the academic process requires that credit be given where credit is due. Accordingly, it is unethical to present, as one's own work the ideas, representations, or words of another, or to permit another to present one's own work without customary and proper acknowledgment of sources. The limits of permissible assistance available to students during a course or an academic evaluation should be determined by the faculty member and described with reasonable particularity at the first or second class meeting, or well in advance of an evaluation, so as to allow for adequate student preparation within the permissible limits.

4. All academic evaluations should be based upon good-faith professional judgment, in accordance with applicable standards; factors such as race, color, religion, sex, national origin, political affiliation, sexual orientation, and activities outside the classroom that are unrelated may not be considered in matters of academic evaluation, academic assignments, or classroom procedures, nor shall reasoned views expressed by students during the course adversely prejudice any student.

5. University records, which shall contain only information reasonably related to educational purposes, shall be considered a matter of privacy not to be released except with student consent, or as may be permitted by law. Any student shall be permitted to review his or her own personal record, except for its confidential contents (such as the recorded comments of counseling personnel).

6. The faculty of each school shall establish rules implementing these principles, and procedures pertaining to the investigation and redress of grievances.

The above Guidelines cannot be fulfilled in the University of Pittsburgh as a whole unless they are fulfilled in each and every academic unit. University-wide Guidelines of implementation as outlined in the above six points are accordingly appropriate as an expression of a common understanding and dedication. These Guidelines are presented in some detail in the two model codes of this statement, which deal with student and faculty responsibilities, respectively. Each academic unit is required to adopt regulations conforming to these documents. The development of exact procedures remains sufficiently flexible to provide proper discretion on the part of the individual faculty; however, such procedures must be designed to assure fair and orderly review of particular cases, and should adhere closely to the language of the attached codes.

The dean of each academic unit will be responsible for furnishing to the Provost the regulations and procedures adopted by the faculty, and any amendments. The codes of each academic unit will be reviewed to insure reasonable conformity with the principles and procedures of the attached model codes. The dean shall also assure that all full-time and part-time students and faculty are informed about the existence and availability of the applicable regulations and procedures.
The jurisdiction shall be held by the academic unit which offered the course. Remedial action benefiting the student must be approved by the dean of the academic unit in which the course is offered. However, in offenses involving academic integrity, only the dean of the academic unit in which the student is matriculated can suspend or dismiss the student from the University. In cases that cross campus, college or school boundaries, consultation between the deans may be appropriate.
Academic Integrity:
Student Obligations

I. Student Obligations

A student has an obligation to exhibit honesty, and to respect the ethical standards of the profession in carrying out his or her academic assignments. Without limiting the application of this principle, a student may be found to have violated this obligation if he or she: (see note concerning more appropriate invocation of University of Pittsburgh Student Code of Conduct and Judicial Procedures)

1. Refers during an academic evaluation to materials or sources, or employs devices, not authorized by the instructor.

2. Provides assistance during an academic evaluation to another person in a manner not authorized by the instructor.

3. Receives assistance during an academic evaluation from another person in a manner not authorized by the instructor.

4. Engages in unauthorized possession, buying, selling, obtaining, or using of any materials intended to be used as an instrument of academic evaluation in advance of its administration.

5. Acts as a substitute for another person in any academic evaluation process.

6. Utilizes a substitute in any academic evaluation procedures.


8. Depends on the aid of others in a manner expressly prohibited by the instructor, in the research, preparation, creation, writing, performing, or publication of work to be submitted for academic credit or evaluation.

9. Provides aid to another person, knowing such aid is expressly prohibited by the instructor, in the research, preparation, creation, writing, performing, or publication of work to be submitted for academic credit or evaluation.

---

NOTE: There may be instances where the charging party may more appropriately invoke the University of Pittsburgh Student Code of Conduct and Judicial Procedures. This may occur where the alleged wrong mainly involves factual determinations and not academic issues.
10. Presents as one's own, for academic evaluation, the ideas, representations, or words of another person or persons without customary and proper acknowledgment of sources.

11. Submits the work of another person in a manner which represents the work to be one's own.

12. Knowingly permits one's work to be submitted by another person without the instructor's authorization.

13. Attempts to influence or change one's academic evaluation or record for reasons other than achievement or merit.

14. Indulges, during a class (or examination) session in which one is a student, in conduct which is so disruptive as to infringe upon the rights of the instructor or fellow students.

15. Fails to cooperate, if called upon, in the investigation or disposition of any allegation of dishonesty pertaining to another student, or any other breach of a student's obligation to exhibit honesty.

16. Violates the canons of ethics of [here refer to relevant discipline or disciplines.]

II. Procedures for Adjudication

No student should be subject to an adverse finding that he or she committed an offense related to academic integrity, and no sanction should be imposed relating thereto, except in accordance with procedures appropriate for disposition of the particular matter involved. The degree of formality of proceedings, the identity of the decision maker or decision makers, and other related aspects should properly reflect such considerations as the severity of the potential sanction, its probable impact upon the student, and the extent to which matters of professional judgment are essential in arriving at an informed decision. In all cases, however, the objective is to provide fundamental fairness to the student as well as an orderly means for arriving at a decision, starting first with the individual instructor and then with designated administrative officers or bodies.

These Guidelines are not meant to address differences of opinion over grades issued by faculty in exercising good faith professional judgments of student work. They are meant to address ways in which a faculty member deals with a student regarding an alleged breach of academic integrity. In matters of academic integrity the succeeding procedural steps must be followed:

1. Any member of the University community who has evidence may bring to the attention of the instructor a complaint that a student has failed, in one or more
respects, to meet faithfully the obligations specified in the above Section I. Acting on his or her own evidence, and/or on the basis of evidence submitted to the instructor, the instructor will advise the student that he or she has reason to believe that the student has committed an offense related to academic integrity, and the student will be afforded an opportunity to respond. If the accused student and the instructor accept a specific resolution offered by either of them, the matter shall be considered closed if both parties sign a written agreement to that effect, and submit it to the dean's office. The dean's office will maintain a written record of the agreement, signed by the student and the instructor. These records are not to be added to the student's individual file and they are to be destroyed when the student graduates or permanently terminates registration. The dean's office may provide such information identifying an individual student for the following uses:

a) to an instructor who is involved with a student integrity violation at the initial stage and who wishes to use this previous record in determining whether a resolution between the faculty member and the student or an academic integrity hearing board may be most appropriate, especially in the case of repeat offenders; and,

b) to a college or school Academic Integrity Hearing Board after a decision of guilt or innocence has been made in a case, but before a sanction has been recommended.

2. If an agreed on resolution between the faculty member and the student cannot be reached, the faculty member will file a written statement of charges with the dean's designated academic integrity hearing officer. Such statement should set forth the alleged offenses which are the basis of the charges, including a factual narrative of events and the dates and times of occurrences. The statement should also include the names of persons having personal knowledge of circumstances or events, the general nature and description of all evidence, and the signature of the charging party. If this occurs at the end of a term, and/or the last term of enrollment, the "G" grade should be issued for the course until the matter is decided. In situations involving the student's last term before graduation, degree certifications can be withheld pending the outcome of the hearing which should be expedited as quickly as possible.

3. The dean's designated academic integrity hearing officer will transmit the written statement of charges to the student, together with a copy of these regulations.

4. The letter of transmittal to the student, a copy of which shall also be sent to the instructor or charging party, will state a time and place when a hearing on the charges will be held by the Chair of the Academic Integrity Hearing Board.

5. In proceedings before the academic unit's Academic Integrity Hearing Board, the student shall have the right:

---

NOTE: If the instructor elects not to pursue the complaint submitted by a member of the University community, the complaint can be submitted to an individual appointed by the dean who can pursue the matter in place of the instructor.
a) to be considered innocent until found guilty by clear and convincing evidence of a violation of the Student obligations of academic integrity;

b) to have a fair disposition of all matters as promptly as possible under the circumstances;

c) to elect to have a private or public hearing;

d) to be informed of the general nature of the evidence to be presented;

e) to confront and question all parties and witnesses except when extraordinary circumstances make this impossible;

f) to present a factual defense through witnesses, personal testimony and other relevant evidence;

g) to decline to testify against himself or herself;

h) to have only relevant evidence considered by the Academic Integrity Hearing Board; and

i) to have a record of the hearing (audio tape) at his or her own expense, upon request.

6. The hearing should provide a fair inquiry into the truth or falsity of the charges, with the charged party and the instructor or charging party afforded the right to cross-examine all adverse witnesses. At the level of the academic unit's Academic Integrity Hearing Board, legal counsel shall not be permitted, but a non-attorney representative from within the University community shall be permitted for both faculty and students. A law student cannot be used as a representative at the Academic Integrity Hearing Board.

7. Any member of the University community may, upon showing relevancy and necessity, request witnesses to appear at the hearing. Witnesses who are members of the University community shall be required to appear and other witnesses may be requested to appear at a hearing. When necessitated by fairness or extraordinary circumstances, the (name of hearing authority) may make arrangements for recorded or written testimony for use in a proceeding.

8. **HEARING PROCEDURE:** The hearing will be conducted as follows:

a) the Chair of the Academic Integrity Hearing Board will not apply technical exclusionary rules of evidence followed in judicial proceedings nor entertain technical legal motions. Technical legal rules pertaining to the wording of questions, hearsay and opinions will not be formally applied. Reasonable rules of relevancy will guide the Chair of the Academic Integrity Hearing Board in ruling on the
admissibility of evidence. Reasonable limits may be imposed on the number of factual witnesses and the amount of cumulative evidence that may be introduced;

b) the alleged offense or offenses upon which the complaint is based shall be read by the Chair of the Academic Integrity Hearing Board;

c) objections to procedure shall be entered on record, and the Chair of the Academic Integrity Hearing Board shall make any necessary rulings regarding the validity of such objections;

d) the charging party shall state his/her case and shall offer evidence in support thereof;

e) the accused or representative for accused shall have the opportunity to question the charging party;

f) the charging party shall be given the opportunity to call witnesses;

g) the accused or representatives for the accused shall be given the opportunity to question each witness of the charging party after he/she testifies;

h) the charging party shall inform the Chair of the Academic Integrity Hearing Board when his/her presentation is completed, at which time the Academic Integrity Hearing Board members shall be given an opportunity to ask questions of the persons participating in the hearing;

i) the Academic Integrity Hearing Board shall recess, and the Chair of the Academic Integrity Hearing Board shall make a determination as to whether the charging party has presented sufficient evidence to support a finding against the accused if such evidence is uncontroverted. The parties may be required to remain in the hearing room during the recess or may be excused for a time period set by the Chair of the Academic Integrity Hearing Board;

j) depending upon the determination of the Chair of the Academic Integrity Hearing Board, the matter shall be dismissed or the accused shall be called upon to present his/her case and offer evidence in support thereof;

k) the accused may testify or not as he/she chooses;

l) the charging party shall have the opportunity to question the accused if the accused voluntarily chooses to testify;

m) the accused or a representative for the accused shall have the opportunity to call witnesses;

n) the charging party shall have the opportunity to question each witness of the accused after he/she testifies;
o) the accused shall inform the Chair of the Academic Integrity Hearing Board when his/her presentation is complete, and the Academic Integrity Hearing Board members shall have an opportunity to ask questions of the accused as well as the accused's witnesses;

p) the Chair of the Academic Integrity Hearing Board shall have an opportunity to address the hearing board on University regulations or procedure in the presence of all parties, but shall not offer other comments without the consent of all parties; and,

q) the hearing shall be continued and the members of the hearing board shall deliberate in private until a decision is reached and recorded.

9. A suitable record (audio recording) shall be made of the proceedings, exclusive of deliberations to arrive at a decision.

10. The proposed decision, which shall be written, shall include a determination whether the charges have been proven by clear and convincing evidence, together with findings with respect to the material facts. If any charges are established, the proposed decision shall state the particular sanction or sanctions to be imposed. Prior violations or informal resolutions of violations may be considered only in recommending sanctions, not in determining guilt or innocence. Once a determination of guilt has been made, and before determining sanctions, the Chair of the Academic Integrity Hearing Board should find out from the appropriate dean(s) whether prior offenses and sanctions imposed have occurred.

11. The proposed decision shall be submitted to the dean, who will make an independent review of the hearing proceedings. The dean may require that the charges be dismissed, or that the case be remanded for further proceedings whenever he or she deems this to be necessary. Upon completion of such additional proceedings, if any, and within a reasonable time the dean shall issue a final decision. The dean may reject any findings made by the Academic Integrity Hearing Board adverse to the student, and may dismiss the charges or reduce the severity of any sanction imposed, but the dean may not make new findings adverse to the student or increase the severity of a sanction, except in the case of repeat offenders of the Academic Integrity Guidelines.

12. The Chair of the Academic Integrity Hearing Board shall then transmit to the charged party and the instructor copies of all actions taken by the hearing authority and the dean. If a sanction is imposed, the notice to the student will make reference to the student's opportunity, by petition filed with the Provost, to appeal to the University Review Board.

III. Timeliness
It is the responsibility of all parties, including administrative officers, to take prompt action in order that charges can be resolved quickly and fairly. Failure of the instructor to utilize these procedures diligently may constitute grounds for dismissal of charges. Parties have the right to seek review of the Provost or to petition the University Review Board for an appeal from a decision of an academic integrity hearing board or investigatory committee within five (5) working days of the date of the decision letter.

**IV. Sanctions**

The alternative sanctions which may be imposed upon a finding that an offense related to academic integrity has been committed are the following:

1. Dismissal from the University without expectation of readmission.

2. Suspension from the University for a specific period of time.

3. Reduction in grade, or assignment of a failing grade, in the course in which the offending paper or examination was submitted.

4. Reduction in grade, or assignment of a failing grade, on the paper or examination in which the offense occurred. Individual colleges, schools, or campuses can add other sanctions approved by the dean of the academic unit and the Provost. Such sanctions must be made known to students.

In administering sanctions, academic units must strive to achieve consistency in their application. That is, within the same units, the same sanctions should be applied for the same offenses, unless extenuating circumstances can be documented, e.g. the student is a repeat offender.

The imposition of such sanctions may be considered by the school in the preparation of any report concerning a student submitted to a government agency, accrediting body, or other person or institution in accordance with the requirements of law or the written consent of the student.
V. Academic Integrity Hearing Board

The Academic Integrity Hearing Board shall be composed of both faculty and students and consist of: [Here state the number of persons on the board; the manner and criteria for selecting members as well as the chair; the terms of service; the means for choosing individuals to serve in particular cases, if a panel of the entire board is to have this responsibility; and other related organizational matters.]

In electing or appointing members to the Academic Integrity Hearing Boards, emphasis should be placed on obtaining faculty members with expertise and/or concerns related to academic integrity matters. Regardless of the selection process, it is the responsibility of the dean to provide all new Academic Integrity Hearing Board members with an orientation designed to familiarize the new members with the academic integrity guidelines for the given unit. Hearing board memberships should be elected or appointed in a way to insure continuity of membership as well as an orderly turnover of the membership.

VI. Review and Appeal

A student or faculty member may seek to have a dean's final decision (or a determination that the charges are not subject to adjudication) reviewed by the Provost, who may seek the advice of the University Review Board, or the student may appeal to the University Review Board, whose recommendation shall be made to the Provost. The action of the Provost, taken with or without the advice of the University Review Board, shall constitute an exhaustion of all required institutional remedies.

---

8 The University Review Board and its jurisdiction are described at the end of this document.
9 In implementation, the decision of the Provost shall be binding also on matters of interpretation of codes and procedures, determination of serious injury, and determination that an allegation is subject to adjudication by the procedures provided herein.
I. Faculty Obligations

A faculty member accepts an obligation, in relation to his or her students, to discharge his or her duties in a fair and conscientious manner in accordance with the ethical standards generally recognized within the academic community, (as well as those of the profession).

Without limiting the application of the above principle, members of the faculty are also expected (except in cases of illness or other compelling circumstance) to conduct themselves in a professional manner, including the following:

1. To meet their classes when scheduled.

2. To be available at reasonable times for appointments with students, and to keep such appointments.

3. To make appropriate preparation for classes and other meetings.

4. To perform their grading duties and other academic evaluations in a timely manner.

5. To describe to students, within the period in which a student may add and drop a course, orally, in writing, or by reference to printed course descriptions, the general content and objectives of a course; and announce the methods and standards of evaluation, including the importance to be assigned various factors in academic evaluation and, in advance of any evaluation, the permissible materials or references allowed during evaluation.\(^\text{10}\)

6. To base all academic evaluations upon good-faith professional judgment.

7. Not to consider, in academic evaluation, such factors as race, color, religion, sex, sexual orientation, age, national origin, and political or cultural affiliation, and

\(^{10}\) As each school develops its code, it should recognize that what is expected of faculty hereunder is intended to provide students with a notion of what is required in the course, and how they will be evaluated; a general statement of broadly defined parameters would therefore suffice. If a course is deemed experimental in content, evaluation techniques, or grading practices, the students should be so advised. By academic evaluation is meant a measurement or grading of a student’s academic performance, such as in written or oral examinations or papers, research reports, or class or laboratory participation.
lifestyle, activities, or behavior outside the classroom unrelated to academic achievement.  

8. To respect the confidentiality of information regarding a student contained in University records; and to refrain from releasing such information, except in connection with intra-University business, or with student consent, or as may be permitted by law.

9. Not to exploit their professional relationship with students for private advantage; and to refrain from soliciting the assistance of students for private purposes in a manner which infringes upon such students' freedom of choice.

10. To give appropriate recognition to contributions made by students to research, publication, service, or other activities.

11. To refrain from any activity which involves risk to the health and safety of a student, except with the student's informed consent, and, where applicable, in accordance with the University policy relating to the use of human subjects in experimentation.

12. To respect the dignity of students individually and collectively in the classroom and other academic contexts.

II. Grievance Procedures

Any member of the University community having evidence may bring to the attention of the department chairman and/or dean a complaint that a faculty member has failed, in one or more respects, to meet faithfully the obligations set forth above. The chair or dean, in his or her discretion, will take such action by way of investigation, counseling, or action-in accordance with applicable University procedures-as may appear to be proper under the circumstances. The faculty member's and student's interest in confidentiality, academic freedom, and professional integrity in such matters will be respected.

---

11 If the student charges such discrimination, the [designated administrative officer] will consult with the unit affirmative action officer to ensure compliance with civil rights legislation and regulations. In such cases, the University Senate Tenure and Academic Freedom Committee may be consulted at any time.

12 References or recommendations may be given in good faith by a faculty member on his or her own behalf, without documentation of a student's consent if it may be reasonably perceived that the student initiated the request for a recommendation, in response to apparent bona fide inquiries, such as those from institutions which state that the student has applied for employment, for admission to graduate school, or for a professional license. See fuller statements concerning University records in the “Student Code of Conduct and Judicial Procedures.”

13 Students are advised that other University policies may more appropriately apply to a given grievance or avenue of redress, including, but not necessarily limited to, the University of Pittsburgh Sexual Harassment Policy and Procedure.
III. Individual Grievances

In order to provide a means for students to seek and obtain redress for grievances affecting themselves individually, the following procedures should be followed. These are not intended and shall not be used to provide sanctions against faculty members.

IV. Procedures

Where an individual student alleges with particularity that the actions of a faculty member have resulted in serious academic injury to the student, the matter shall (if requested by the student) be presented to the Academic Integrity Hearing Board for adjudication. Serious academic injury includes, but is not necessarily limited to, the awarding of a lower course grade than that which the student has earned, or suspension from a class. However, this is not intended to address normal grading decisions of faculty in exercising good-faith professional judgment in evaluating a student's work.

It is the responsibility of the student, before seeking to have a grievance adjudicated, to attempt to resolve the matter by personal conference with the faculty member concerned, and, if such attempts are unavailing, to call the matter to the attention of the department chairman, associate dean, etc., as appropriate for consideration and adjustment by informal means. If a matter remains unresolved after such efforts have been made, the following grievance procedures shall be employed:

1. The aggrieved student will file a written statement of charges with the dean's designated Academic Integrity Administrative Officer.

2. If the dean's designated Academic Integrity Administrative Officer determines that the charges are subject to adjudication under the terms of the Academic Integrity Guidelines, he or she will transmit the charges to the faculty member, together with a copy of these regulations.

3. The letter of transmittal to the faculty member, a copy of which shall also be sent to the student, will state the composition of a committee that has been named to meet with the involved parties to make an informal inquiry into the charge. The purpose of this committee is to provide a last effort at informal resolution of the matter between the student and the faculty member.

4. The committee shall meet with the faculty member, the student, and others as appropriate, to review the nature of the problem in an attempt to reach a settlement of the differences. This is not a formal hearing and formal procedural rules do not apply. On completion of these meetings if no mutually agreeable resolution results, the committee may produce its own recommendation for a solution to the conflict.

5. Should the committee recommend that the faculty member take some corrective action on behalf of the student, its recommendations shall be provided to the faculty member. As promptly as reasonable and at least within five working days after the
faculty member receives the recommendations of the committee, the faculty member shall privately take that action which he or she elects, and so advise the student and chairman of the committee of that action.

6. Should the committee conclude that the faculty member need take no corrective action on behalf of the student, this finding shall be forwarded to both the faculty member and the student.

7. If the student elects to pursue the matter further, either because he or she is dissatisfied with the resulting action of the faculty member or the conclusion of the committee, he or she should discuss this intent with the chair of the committee who should review the procedures to be followed with the student. If the student wishes to proceed with a formal hearing, the chair of the committee shall advise the Chair of the Academic Integrity Hearing Board that the case appears to involve a student's claim of serious academic injury, and that the formal hearing procedure must be initiated.

8. The formal hearing should provide a fair inquiry into the truth or falsity of the charges, with the faculty member and the student afforded the right to cross-examine. At the level of the Academic Unit Academic Integrity Hearing Board, legal counsel shall not be permitted, but a representative from within the University community shall be permitted for both faculty and students.

9. A suitable record (audio recording) shall be made of the proceedings, exclusive of deliberations to arrive at a decision.

10. The proposed decision, which shall be written, shall include a determination whether charges have been proved by clear and convincing evidence, together with findings with respect to the material facts. If any charges are established, the proposed decision shall state the particular remedial action to be taken.

11. The proposed decision shall be submitted to the dean, who will make an independent review of the hearing proceedings. The dean may require that the charges be dismissed, or that the case be remanded for further proceedings whenever he or she deems this to be necessary. The dean may limit the scope of any further proceedings or require that a part or all of the original proceedings be reconvened. Upon completion of such additional proceedings, if any, the dean shall issue a final decision. The dean may reject any findings made by the Academic Integrity Hearing Board, may dismiss the charges or reduce the extent of the remedial action to be taken. If the dean believes remedial action to be taken may infringe upon the exercise of academic freedom, he or she will seek an advisory opinion from the Senate Committee on Tenure and Academic Freedom before issuing his own decision. The decision of the dean shall be in writing, shall set forth with particularity any new findings of fact or remedies, and shall include a statement of the reasons underlying such action.
12. The dean shall then transmit to the faculty member and to the student copies of all actions affecting them taken by the hearing authority and the dean. Suitable records shall be maintained as confidential and retained in the office of the dean.

V. Remedial Action

Remedies in a student's behalf should usually be those agreed to willingly by the faculty member. Other remedial action to benefit a student may be authorized by the dean only upon recommendation of the Academic Integrity Hearing Board and limited to: Allowing a student to repeat an examination; allowing a student to be evaluated for work that would otherwise be too late to be considered; directing that additional opportunities be afforded for consultation or instruction; elimination of a grade that had been assigned by a faculty member from the transcript; changing of a failing letter or numerical grade to a "pass" or "satisfactory" grade, so as not to adversely affect a student's grade average; allowing a student to repeat a course without paying tuition or any other penalty, schedule and program permitting.

If some action is contemplated that might be deemed to infringe upon the academic freedom of the faculty member, the dean will seek an advisory opinion from the Senate Committee on Tenure and Academic Freedom (TAF). In such cases, the Senate TAF may identify other acceptable remedies or render such advice as may be appropriate in the particular situation.

No action detrimental to the faculty member will be taken, except as in strict accordance with established University procedures. An adjustment hereunder in the student's behalf shall not be deemed a determination that the faculty member was in any way negligent or derelict.

VI. Review and Appeal

A student or faculty member may seek to have a dean's final decision (or a determination that the charges are not subject to adjudication) reviewed by the Provost, who may seek the advice of the University Review Board, or the student may appeal to the University Review Board, whose recommendation shall be made to the Provost. The action of the Provost, taken with or without the advice of the University Review Board, shall constitute an exhaustion of all required institutional remedies.

If any such determination may be deemed to have a possible adverse effect upon the faculty member's professional situation, the faculty member may seek the assistance of the Tenure and Academic Freedom Committee of the University Senate.
VII. Timeliness

It is the responsibility of all parties, including administrative officers, to take prompt action in order that grievances may be resolved quickly and fairly. While no explicit time limit could apply to all cases, failure to use diligence in seeking redress may constitute grounds for denial of a hearing or other relief, especially if prejudice results. Parties have the right to seek review of the Provost or to petition the University Review Board for an appeal from a decision of an academic integrity hearing board or investigatory committee within five (5) working days of the date of the decision letter.

VIII. Investigatory Committees and Hearing Boards

The informal investigatory committees and the formal hearing boards shall be composed of both students and faculty, and shall consist of [here state the number of persons on the board; the manner of criteria for selecting members as well as chairs; the terms of service; the means for choosing individuals to serve in particular cases, if a panel of the entire board is to have this responsibility; and other related organizational matters].

Grievance Procedures Against Senior Administrators

A student complaint of arbitrary or unfair treatment against the principal officer of an academic unit (e.g., the dean), should be made to the Provost or appropriate Senior Vice Chancellor. There must be a prompt review and decision on the grievance. Members of the faculty who may be called upon to review and advise on the grievance should be drawn from outside the jurisdiction of the administrator against whom the charge is made.
University Review Board

The University Review Board (URB) is the duly authorized appellate body which serves as an advisor to the Provost and Senior Vice Chancellor and the Chancellor. (Regional campuses may establish similar appellate bodies which serve as an advisor to their President and whose appellate jurisdiction shall be limited to non-academic matters).

The URB may exercise appellate jurisdiction for academic and non-academic matters and shall have sole appellate jurisdiction for matters originating from judicial bodies within the University Student Judicial System.

The URB shall also exercise limited jurisdiction for matters referred directly from the Chancellor and the Provost, the Senior Vice Chancellor for the Health Sciences or the Vice Chancellor for Student and Public Affairs.

The University Review Board may meet from time to time for the purpose of orienting new members and reviewing poor decisions and shall meet at such other times as are necessary to conduct appellate hearings.

URB Structure

The URB shall be a standing body of fifteen members of the University community appointed for staggered terms of two years. Appointment shall be made in the following manner:

I. Five faculty members elected by the University Senate.

II. Five graduate and professional students appointed by the Graduate and Professional Student Association.

III. Five undergraduate students:
   A. Two appointed by the General Studies Student Council; and,
   B. Three appointed by the Undergraduate Student Government.

A matter properly submitted for review shall be heard by a review board of five members of the URB. Review boards shall be composed as follows:

1. In academic cases, three faculty members and two students.

2. In non-academic cases, two faculty members and three students.
**URB Moderator**

An attorney appointed by the Chancellor, who shall serve as URB Moderator is authorized to:

1. Conduct the administrative and procedural operations of the URB.

2. Determine the appropriateness and completeness of petitions to the URB in consultation with one student and one faculty member of the URB.

3. Provide advice and assistance to members of the University community regarding the processing of an appeal.

4. Select members from the URB membership to serve on review boards.

5. Moderate all proceedings before the URB.

6. Serve as legal advisor to the URB.

7. Provide legal advice related to URB proceedings and recommendation, if requested, to the Chancellor or other referring authority.

**Basis for Appeal**

The URB shall hear an appeal whenever requested by the Chancellor, Provost and Senior Vice Chancellor, Senior Vice Chancellor for the Health Sciences, or the Vice Chancellor for Student and Public Affairs.

The URB shall also hear appeals on the petition of a faculty member, student or student organization when either petition, together with supporting documentation, presents a substantial question within the scope of review of the URB and the prior adjudication or action resulted in:

1. Suspension or dismissal from the University for violation of the Student Code of Conduct.

2. Suspension or dismissal from the University or the imposition of a serious academic sanction for violation of academic integrity standards.

3. Grant or denial of a remedy in an academic grievance proceeding.

4. Suspension or dismissal from the University residence halls.
5. Procedural rulings or substantive interpretations which have an important continuing impact upon the University Student Judicial System or the University community.

Scope of Review

The scope of review of the URB shall be limited to consideration of the following questions:

1. Whether rights affirmed by the Board of Trustees have been denied.

2. Whether the adjudicatory process of an initial hearing was conducted fairly and in conformity with properly prescribed procedures.

3. Whether the adjudication was supported by substantial evidence.

4. Whether the regulations involved were lawful and proper and whether they were properly applied in the particular case.

5. Whether the sanction or remedy imposed was in due proportion to the gravity and nature of the conduct.

URB Procedures

Any faculty member or student adversely affected by the decision of a judicial body within the University Student Judicial System may institute an appeal before the URB by filing a petition in the Office of the URB Moderator.

A petition must set forth the following:

1. The name and address of the petitioner adversely affected by the prior adjudication.

2. The name and address of the respondent.

3. The result of the prior adjudication.

4. One or more of the questions within the scope of review of the URB.

5. A statement supporting, through factual narrative and argument, the petitioner’s position.

The Moderator in consultation with one student and one faculty member of the URB shall review petitions and determine whether all requirements set forth for the filing of an appeal are satisfied and whether the petition sets forth the basis for an appeal and raises a question within the scope of review.
Upon receipt of a properly filed petition the Moderator shall notify the parties that an appeal has been instituted. Notice shall include:

1. A copy of the petition.

2. A copy of the relevant regulations and procedures.

After determining the appropriateness and completeness of a petition and allowing a reasonable amount of time for preparation and review of any documents and recordings, the Moderator shall schedule an appeal. All parties shall receive written notification of the time, date and place.\(^{14}\)

The Moderator shall convene a Review Board at the time, data and place scheduled and the appeal shall be conducted under the procedural guidance of the Moderator.

---

\(^{14}\) The URB, in its discretion, may elect to decide an appeal based on the submission of briefs by the parties without oral argument. In such cases, the Moderator will provide written instruction to the parties.
Postponement of Sanction Pending Appeals

A sanction or remedy which has been recommended by a judicial board and approved by the appropriate administrative officer may be postponed upon petition by the affected party or parties upon a determination that pending the final examination of an appeal it would be unfair not to postpone imposition of the sanction or remedy.

Persons wishing to postpone a sanction or remedy may petition URB by separate petition setting forth reasons why the imposition of a sanction or remedy would unfairly prejudice a party. Petition for postponement shall be reviewed by the Moderator, one student and one faculty member of the URB. The criteria to be applied in determining whether to postpone a sanction or remedy are as follows:

1. Whether the issues raised in the appeal may be resolved in favor of the petitioning party.

2. Whether the petitioning party will be unfairly prejudiced pending a final determination of the appeal by the immediate imposition of the sanction or remedy.

3. Whether the responding party will be unfairly prejudiced by the postponement of the sanction or remedy.

All decisions regarding the postponement of sanctions shall be made within 5 days of the receipt of such a petition and shall be communicated to all parties in writing through the Office of the Moderator.

Representation

A party may be represented or assisted by whomever he/she wishes, but only one representative may take an active part.

Argument Format

Each party in interest shall be given ample time to present his or her position. In support of a position a party may refer to any records, documents, or recordings from a prior proceeding and may present an oral or written argument.

Each party may question the other about his or her argument. Members of the URB may question the parties.

The URB shall make factual findings and shall render a final adjudication in the form of a written opinion. A majority shall control all decisions but there may be an accompanying minority opinion.
URB Action

The URB may remand a matter to the initial adjudicatory authorities for further proceedings if it determines there are insufficient written findings or prejudicial procedural error. In other cases, the URB shall render a written opinion and recommend action to the Chancellor, Provost and Senior Vice Chancellor, Senior Vice Chancellor for the Health Sciences, or the Vice Chancellor for Student and Public Affairs, accompanied by the complete record.

The Moderator shall be responsible for promptly communicating any formal action of the URB to the parties, transmitting remanded cases to the initial adjudicatory authorities, and forwarding the recommendations to the Chancellor and his or her representatives.

Action by the Chancellor or Other Referring Authority

The Chancellor and his or her representatives shall consider the opinions and recommendations of the URB, the record and such other advice as they may deem necessary and proper. He/she or they may remand the matter to the initial adjudicatory authority or to the URB for further proceedings, or may enter a final decision, accepting or rejecting the recommendations in part or in their entirety. (A sanction imposed by an initial adjudicatory authority may not be increased.)
GUIDELINES FOR ETHICAL PRACTICES IN RESEARCH
Office of Research Integrity
2409 Cathedral of Learning
THE GUIDELINES

The purpose of this set of guidelines is to provide a positively oriented set of practical suggestions for maintaining integrity in research. The honest conduct of science not only satisfies a scientific moral code; it also leads to better scientific results because the credibility of the researcher is not compromised and because the adherence to ethical research practices leads to more attention to the details of scientific research, including quantitative and statistical techniques, and to more thoughtful collaboration among investigators.

Observance of these guidelines will help an investigator to avoid departures from accepted ethical research practice and from those most serious deviations which constitute scientific misconduct. Research misconduct is defined as follows in the University of Pittsburgh Research Integrity Policy (August 1, 1994), copies of which can be obtained from the Research Integrity Officer (624-3007):

(1) fabrication, falsification, plagiarism or other practices that seriously deviate from those that are commonly accepted within the scientific community for proposing, conducting, or reporting research; (2) material failure to comply with Federal requirements for protection of researchers, human subjects or the public or for ensuring the welfare of laboratory animals; or (3) failure to meet other material legal requirements governing research. It does not include honest error or honest differences in interpretations or judgments of data.

Misconduct as defined above is viewed as a serious professional deviation which is subject to sanctions imposed both by the University and also in some cases by a sponsoring federal agency.

These guidelines can be used as a common repository of generally accepted practice for experienced researchers and as an orientation to those beginning research careers. Although some of these principles apply to all fields of research, much of what follows deals with scientific research, including those areas of the social and behavioral sciences that involve collection and interpretation of data. These materials can be adapted or specified in a more particular form appropriate for each scholarly discipline or academic unit. In fact, many academic units already have developed excellent handbooks on research ethics and integrity, such as the Handbook on Integrity in Biomedical Research of the School of Medicine. When in doubt about the accepted ethical standards in a particular case, a researcher should discuss the matter with a respected colleague or consult the University Research Integrity Officer (624-3007) on a confidential basis.

This document supplements existing University-wide policies and procedures governing various aspects of research, a partial list of which is found in Attachment B.

MATTERS OF ETHICAL CONCERN IN RESEARCH

15There has been a growing literature on research ethics over the past decade, providing informed perspectives on many of the ethical issues dealt with here as well as case studies that give practical examples of ethical issues arising in the normal course of research. An abbreviated bibliography of this literature is listed in Attachment A.
1. Plagiarism

Authors who present the words, data, or ideas of others with the implication that they are their own, without attribution in a form appropriate for the medium of presentation, may be guilty of plagiarism and thus of research misconduct. This stricture applies to reviews and to methodological and background/historical sections of research papers as well as to original research results or interpretations. If there is a word-for-word copying beyond a short phrase or several words of someone else’s text, that section should be enclosed in quotation marks or indented and referenced to the original source. The same rules apply to grant proposals or to papers submitted for academic credit.

An author should cite the work of others even if he or she had been a co-author or editor of the work to be cited or had been an advisor or student of the author of such work. Plagiarism not only violates the standard code of conduct governing all researchers, but in many cases could constitute an infraction of the law by infringing on a copyright held by the original author or publisher.

The work of others should be cited or credited, whether published or unpublished and whether it had been written work or an oral presentation. Each journal or publisher may specify the particular form of appropriate citation. One need not provide citations, however, in the case of well-established concepts that may be found in common textbooks or in the case of phrases which describe a commonly-used methodology or previous research. Special rules have been developed for citing electronic information.

2. Misuse of Privileged Information

One particularly serious form of plagiarism is the misuse of privileged information taken from a grant proposal or manuscript received for peer review. In such a case, the plagiarism is a serious matter of theft of intellectual property because it not only deprives the original author of appropriate credit by citation but could also preempt priority of first publication or use of the original idea to which the source author is entitled. Also, one who breaches confidentiality by showing a privileged document to an unauthorized person can be held to a shared responsibility for any subsequent plagiarism of the document committed by that unauthorized person.

3. Data
   a. Integrity of Data

Fabrication and falsification of research results constitute serious misconduct. It is a primary responsibility of a researcher to avoid either a false statement or an omission that distorts the truth. In order to preserve accurate documentation of observed facts with which later reports or conclusions can be compared, every researcher has an obligation to maintain a clear and complete record of data acquired. As stated in the University's Guidelines on Data Retention and Access, “records should include sufficient detail to permit examination for the purpose of replicating the research, responding to questions that may result from unintentional error or misinterpretation, establishing authenticity of the records, and confirming the validity of the conclusions.”

Meticulous record-keeping is a sound scientific practice which provides an accurate contemporaneous account of observations that becomes a permanent reference to the researcher who otherwise might not remember several weeks, months, or years later exactly what had been observed or what methods had been used. It is also an accurate record for others who may want to replicate the observation or to apply a method to other situations. In addition, it is an aid in allowing the eventual sharing of information with others and as documentation that might disprove

---

any subsequent allegation of fabrication or falsification of data.

In many fields of laboratory research, it is standard practice to record data in ink in an indexed permanently bound laboratory notebook with consecutively numbered pages. Research methods, including statistical treatments, should be either described in the notebook or referenced by citation to some other primary or secondary source. Information on materials used, along with their sources, should be recorded. Entries should not be erased or whited out. If mistakes are to be corrected, a thin line should be drawn through the erroneous entry so as not to obscure it and an initialed dated correction written separately near the original entry or in the margin. All entries, or at least all pages of a notebook should be dated and initialed. Such records may be important at a later date in establishing scientific priorities or intellectual property claims.

All data should be recorded contemporaneously with the production or observation of the data. If some data are obtained as printouts from instruments or computers, these printouts should be appropriately labeled and pasted into the notebook or, if pasting is not possible, stored securely and referenced in the notebook as to storage location. If unique critical materials, such as cell lines, archeological artifacts, or synthetic chemical intermediates, are prepared or discovered, they should be preserved and appropriately labeled, and explicit instructions should be written in the notebook as to where they can be found. Extensive data sets may be stored either as hard copy or on disks. In such cases, carefully documented definitions for codes should be stored, together with rules for applying them to the clinical or field data and notes.

Research in social sciences and in some clinical biomedical fields poses specific problems with respect to the availability of primary data for use by other researchers or by reviewers of allegations of possible scientific misconduct. The protection of human subjects requires that data be stored in a way that insures the privacy of individual subjects. Furthermore while, for purposes of analysis, these data are frequently coded and entered into computer files with only code numbers identifying the individual subjects, there is often an interest in reviewing the coding procedures in order to identify either random or systematic mis-entry of data into files. To satisfy these guidelines fully, the primary data - clinical or laboratory records, questionnaires, tapes of interviews, and field notes - should be available for review. See also 3.c. below. (In some research areas, anthropology for example, field notes are viewed as the product of the researcher rather than as data, and are thus customarily not made available to others.) Where possible, questionnaires should be stored without identifiers, using only code numbers to link them to computerized files. Records, including transcripts of taped interviews, can be redacted to remove names and other key identifiers. The rules and procedures for carrying out such redactions should be available to anyone who reviews the data.

b. Use and Misuse of Data

Researchers should acquaint themselves with the current relevant quantitative methods for processing data, including graphical and tabular methods of presentation, error analysis, and tests for internal consistency.

Research integrity requires not only that reported conclusions are based on accurately recorded data or observations but that all relevant observations are reported. It is considered a breach of research integrity to fail to report data that do not support or that even contradict the conclusions, including the purposeful withholding of information about confounding factors. If some data should be disregarded for a stated reason, including an approved statistical test for neglecting outliers, the reason should be stated in the published accounts. A large background of negative results must be reported. Any reckless disregard for the truth in reporting observations may be considered to be an act of scientific misconduct.
Modifying a protocol in the midst of a clinical or epidemiological study or changing the character of a study (e.g., from an exploratory to a confirmatory study) might in some cases be considered improper or even be viewed as scientific misconduct.

Expenditure of government grant funds for fabricated or falsified research is not only a violation of research ethics but also a federal crime and those responsible may be subject to prosecution with the possibility of a demand for restitution of funds to the government, a fine, and/or imprisonment.

c. Ownership of and Access to Data

Research data are not the property of the researcher who generated or observed them or even of the principal investigator of the research group but belong to the University of Pittsburgh, which can be held accountable for the integrity of the data even after the researchers have left the University. Reasonable access to data, however, should normally not be denied to any scientific member of the research group in which the data were collected. If there is any possibility that a copyright or patent application might emerge from the group project, a written agreement should specify the rights, if any, of each member of the group to the intellectual property.

A principal investigator who leaves the University is entitled to make a copy of data to take to another institution so as to be able to continue the research or, in some cases, to take the original data with a written agreement to make them available to the University on request within a stated time period. A formal Agreement on Disposition of Research Data should be negotiated in such cases. Each student, postdoctoral fellow, or other investigator in a group project should come to an understanding with the research director or principal investigator, preferably in writing, about which parts of the project he or she might continue to explore after leaving the research group. Such an understanding should specify the extent to which a copy of research data may be taken. Co-investigators at another institution are entitled to access the data which they helped to obtain.

For unique materials prepared in the course of the research, such as intermediates in a chemical synthesis, autoradiograms, cell lines, and reagents, items that can be proportioned should be divided among members of a research group at different locations under negotiated terms of a transfer agreement. For non-divisible items, the nature of the assignment should be clearly stipulated in the agreement.

Since the scientific enterprise is a cooperative endeavor encompassing many persons who now or in the future might pursue common research interests, and since it is in the interest of all to rely on the contributions and findings of others, every investigator has an obligation to the general scientific community to cooperate by sharing of data. Other virtues of sharing data include the facilitation of independent confirmation or refutation of reported outcomes. It is generally accepted that the data underlying a research publication should be made available to other responsible investigators upon request after the research results have been published. A researcher who has access to a unique set of experimental or observational data, e.g., from a satellite or from an archeological site, has an obligation either to publish research results within a reasonable time or to make the data available to others who will be able to do so.

The National Science Foundation has a specific requirement that data, samples, physical collections and other materials created or gathered in the course of NSF-supported research be shared in a timely manner. The U.S. Public Health Service (PHS) insists that not only data but also unique materials (such as cell lines, cloned DNA, or reagents) developed with PHS funds should be made available to qualified individuals in the scientific community after the associated research results have been published or provided to the sponsoring agency.

d. Storage and Retention of Data
Data should be stored securely for at least five years after completion of the project, submission of the final report to a sponsoring agency, or publication of the research, whichever comes last. Some agencies that sponsor research may specify a longer period for which data must be retained. For example, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration requires that data associated with Phase I-III clinical trials be retained for a minimum of two years following final approval of the respective drug, which is likely to be a substantially longer period of time than five years after completion of the research project. In the absence of a specific agency regulation, a conservative rule is to retain data for as long as there is still scientific interest in the details of the research.

4. Authorship and Other Publication Practices

Publication of research results is important as a means of communicating to the scholarly world so that readers may be informed of research results and other researchers may build on the reported findings. In fact, it is an ethical obligation for an investigator at the University to make research findings accessible, in a manner consistent with the relevant standards of publication. Publication should be timely but should not be hastened unduly if premature publication involves a risk of not subjecting all results to adequate internal confirmation or of not thinking out adequately all interpretations. Violations of the guidelines suggested below are departures from desirable practice and in extreme cases may be considered as research misconduct.

a. Criteria for Authorship

Publication must give appropriate credit to all authors for their roles in the research. If more than one person contributes significantly, the decision of which names are to be listed as co-authors should reflect the relative contributions of various participants in the research. Many professional associations and research journals have specified criteria for authorship. One common standard appearing in many of these statements is that each author should have participated in formulating the research problem, interpreting the results, and writing the research paper, and should be prepared to defend the publication against criticisms. Other statements require meeting two or three of the above criteria and, with respect to the last of these requirements, a more limited expectation is often prescribed that each author should be prepared to defend against criticism those portions of the publication falling within his or her particular area of expertise. A person’s name should not be listed as author without his or her knowledge, permission, and review of the manuscript.

A procedure that has been adopted by some journals and some universities or departments is that each author must sign a statement attesting to having read and approved the final manuscript and/or to having made a substantial contribution to the manuscript. Departments or other academic units might consider drawing up statements of criteria and procedures for certification for authorship appropriate to their own units.

A person whose contribution merits co-authorship should be named even in oral presentations, especially when abstracts or transactions of the proceedings of a conference at which a paper is presented will be published. The entitlement to authorship should be the same whether or not a person is still at the original location of the research when a paper is submitted for publication.

Just as one should include as co-authors all those who have a right to be so listed, so one should avoid the listing of so-called honorary authors, who do not meet the criteria for authorship. All versions of standards for authorship suggest the use of alternative forms of acknowledgment within the paper, e.g., for technical assistance, for providing research materials or facilities, or for meeting some but not all of the stated criteria for authorship. To avoid misunderstandings and even recriminations, the inclusion and exclusion of names of research participants as co-authors should be made clear to all participants in the research prior to submission of the manuscript.
b. Order of Authors
Customs regarding the order in which co-authors' names appear vary with the discipline. Whatever the discipline, it is important that all co-authors understand the basis for assigning an order of names and agree in advance to the assignments. A corresponding or senior author should be designated for every paper, who shall be responsible for communicating with the publisher and for informing all co-authors of the status of review and publication and of any changes in the list of co-authors.

c. Self-citations
In citing one's own unpublished work, an author must be careful not to imply an unwarranted status of a manuscript. Thus, a paper should not be listed as submitted, in anticipation of expected submission. A paper should not be listed as accepted for publication or in press unless the author has received galley proof or page proof or has received a letter from an editor or publisher stating that publication has been approved, subject perhaps only to copy-editing.

d. Duplicate Publication
Researchers should not publish the same article in two different places unless there is very good reason to do so and unless appropriate citation is made in the later publication to the earlier one. The same rule applies to abstracts. If there is unexplained duplication of publication, sometimes referred to as self-plagiarism, a reader may be deceived as to the original amount of research data.

It is poor practice in most fields to allow the same manuscript to be under review by more than one journal at the same time. Very often journals specify that a submitted work should not have been published or submitted for publication elsewhere, and some journals require that a submitted manuscript be accompanied with a statement to that effect.

An author should not divide a research paper which is a self-contained integral whole into a number of smaller papers merely for the sake of expanding the number of items in the author's bibliography.

5. Interference
Not only withholding of data but intentional removal of, interference with, or damage to any research-related property is improper and could be classified as scientific misconduct.

6. Obligation to Report
a. Reporting Suspected Misconduct
Reporting suspected research misconduct is a shared and serious responsibility of all members of the academic community. Any person who suspects scientific misconduct has an obligation to report the allegation to the dean of the unit in which the suspected misconduct occurred or to another senior University administrator. The Research Integrity Officer (624-3007) may also be consulted. Allegations are handled under procedures described in the University's Research Integrity Policy. All reports are treated confidentially to the extent possible, and no adverse action will be taken, either directly or indirectly, against a person who makes such an allegation in good faith. Protection of whistleblowers against retaliation is guaranteed under policies of both the University and many research-sponsoring federal agencies. The University endorses the principles embodied in A Whistleblower's Bill of Rights, recommended on November 3, 1995 to the Secretary of Health and Human Services by the Commission on Research Integrity.

In some cases, allegations of misconduct in supported projects must be reported to the funding agency. The Research Integrity Officer, who acts as the University's liaison with the Office of Research Integrity of the PHS and with the Office of the Inspector General of NSF, should be consulted about requirements for reporting to research program officers of federal agencies.
b. **Correction of Errors**

If a finding of research error or of plagiarism, either intentional or inadvertent, should be made subsequent to publication, the investigator has an obligation to submit a correction or retraction in a form specified by the editor or publisher.

**7. Curriculum Vitae**

A biographical sketch incorporated into a grant proposal or a curriculum vitae used in an application for a fellowship or any other position must follow the same standards of accuracy as a research publication. Inflated or otherwise inaccurate listings of educational background or academic status with an intent to deceive, including degrees, employment history, and professional accomplishments, are just as reprehensible as irresponsible entries in a list of publications and in some cases could be considered as falsification and categorized as misconduct.

In listing publications it is recommended that clearly labeled separate sections should be used for referenced research publications, chapters for books summarizing or reviewing a field, books or monographs, and abstracts. A separate additional listing of public presentations may be another appropriate category. No item should be listed more than once in the same category. Some schools of the University have established standard formats for curricula vitae.

**8. Conflict of Interest**

There are some circumstances in which conflicts of interest could constitute or lead to research misconduct, for example, by the distortion of research outcomes as a result of personal financial interests of a researcher. The annual disclosures of outside interests by researchers required by the University's Conflict of Interest Policy and the review of these disclosures by academic administrators are intended to avoid the escalation of conflicts into misconduct. Possible preventive measures provided under that Policy include divestiture or public disclosure of outside interests and internal monitoring of the research within the University. A notice of special interests should be included, possibly as a footnote, in publications, in research proposals and reports, and in clinical research protocols. In fact, many journals and funding agencies require such declarations.

In the special case where University researchers are considering or are involved in commercialization of an invention, for example, through a start-up company or by licensing technology to an established company, researchers should consult the Policy and Recommendations on Commercialization of Inventions through Independent Companies, dated June 28, 1996. For a copy of that Policy or other information relating to commercialization of University technology, contact the University's Director of Technology Management.

**9. Responsibilities of a Research Supervisor**

An investigator who leads a research group has supervisory responsibilities with respect to the research performed by members of the group. The supervisor not only provides guidance and advice to members of the group but also has ultimate responsibility for the scientific integrity of the whole group. He or she should thus take all reasonable steps to check the details of experimental procedures and the validity of the data or observations reported by members of the group, including periodic reviews of primary data in addition to summary tables, graphs, and oral reports prepared by members of the group. An investigator not only serves as a research manager with respect to members of the research group but also is a mentor responsible for the intellectual and professional development of graduate students, postdoctoral fellows, and junior faculty in the group. In order to fulfill all of the inherent role responsibilities, a supervisor should not have a research group larger than he or she can manage effectively and responsibly. Some departments or schools may consider assigning limits to the size of a research group.
10. Responsibilities to Funding Agencies

An investigator should be aware of the seriousness of a research proposal. The same standards of accuracy pertain to proposals as to manuscripts submitted for publication. Description of experiments not yet performed as evidence in support of the proposed research, for example, is considered to be fabrication and is subject to a finding of scientific misconduct, even if the proposal is rejected for funding or is withdrawn before full consideration for funding. The same definition of plagiarism applies to a proposal, including background and methodological sections, as to a publication.

Investigators who enter into agreements with commercial sponsors of research should familiarize themselves with the special terms of such agreements, such as those, for example, concerning reporting of results, disclosure of inventions, and confidentiality. Failure to comply with the provisions might sometimes constitute a breach of contract.

11. Special Obligations in Human Subject Research

Research protocols involving human subjects must be approved in advance by the University Institutional Review Board (IRB), which determines whether risks posed to subjects are acceptable and whether information describing risks and benefits of subject participation is actually conveyed to subjects in an accurate and intelligible manner. Such IRB approval should precede the submission of a grant proposal. This requirement applies not only to biomedical and dental research, but also to many research projects in the social and behavioral sciences as well. (Depending on the funding agency, IRB approval may be obtained and submitted after the proposal due date but prior to implementation of the peer review process by the funding agency.) IRB guidelines may be found by way of the Home Page of the Office of Research, Health Sciences (http://www.pitt.edu/~oorhs).

The IRB reviews both the protocol and the informed consent document which potential research subjects must sign before participating in the protocol. Research subjects already participating in a protocol by virtue of signing an approved consent document must be informed of any new information regarding risks and benefits of study participation when such data become available as the study progresses. Such information must be conveyed by a new consent document approved in writing by the IRB. Any proposed change in the research protocol or consent document must be approved by all investigators as well as by the IRB in advance of implementation of the proposed change. If a consent document states that subjects will be informed of the research outcomes, the investigator must honor that commitment and so inform the subjects.

The privacy of information relating to each subject must be respected and maintained. It is not permissible to collect for research purposes private information that may be linked (e.g., by names, initials, social security numbers, study numbers, or other personal identifiers) to individual subjects without prior written consent of the subjects as approved by the IRB. Data and samples of body tissues or fluids may not be used for any purpose unless the subject has provided consent prospectively and in writing, unless otherwise approved by the IRB.

Every research protocol involving human subjects should receive a scientific review and written approval as specified in the investigator's academic unit prior to submission to the IRB for review. This prior review must address the scientific merit, research subject availability, resource utilization and financial support, as well as compliance with federal, state, and University policies and procedures.

Sponsoring agencies are to be notified promptly of any definitive results, whether significantly adverse or beneficial, which may have an impact on public health. Also, the IRB must be notified promptly of any significant adverse reactions.

When large studies are organized as cooperative projects involving many different institutions, the coordinating institution has a special responsibility for developing a monitoring system to check the
reliability of data reported from the various data-collecting centers.

The IRB office (647-7644) may be consulted for answers to questions or for copies of the IRB Reference Manual for the Use of Human Subjects in Research.

12. Laboratory Animals in Research or Teaching

Investigators who use laboratory animals are obliged to follow humane procedures and to use no more animals than absolutely necessary. Wherever possible, alternative protocols which do not require the use of animals should be considered and, if practicable, adopted. Written approval must be obtained from the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee prior to the initiation of any research or teaching which requires the use of animals. The Director of the Division of Laboratory Animal Resources (648-8950) should be consulted about guidelines and appropriate procedures.
SOME UNIVERSITY UNITS SUPPORTING RESEARCH

1. **Safety**

   The University Radiation Safety Officer (624-2728) should be consulted about the safe use of radioactive isotopes and other sources of ionizing radiation and about obtaining necessary authorizations and procurement approvals.

   The Director of Environmental Health and Safety (624-9544) should be consulted about proper use, storage, and disposal of hazardous materials.

   All work involving recombinant DNA and some work involving defective viruses and infectious plant or animal viruses must be reported to the Institutional Biosafety Committee for review and approval through use of a Registration Document for Recombinant DNA Research, available at the Office of Research (624-7405).

   Principal investigators intending to work with biohazardous materials, including bloodborne pathogens, must complete a Registration Document for Use of Biohazardous Materials for review and approval by the Biosafety Officer (624-8642).

2. **Intellectual Property and Technology Management**

   Investigators may have occasion to protect their research findings, both for themselves and for the University, through copyrights or patents. The Office of Technology Management (648-2206) assists faculty in evaluating discoveries or inventions for this type of legal protection and in applying for copyrights or patents.

   Investigators should also consult the Office of Technology Management if they are considering or are involved in commercialization of an invention through a start-up company, an established company, or any other business venture.

3. **University Office of Research**

   The Office of Research (624-7400) is the only gateway for submitting and processing research proposals and budgets to sponsoring agencies, whether governmental or private. Material transfer agreements are also processed through this Office. The Director of the Office of Research must approve and sign all such documents as an authorized University signatory. This Office maintains a useful library of information about agency regulations and guidelines, funding sources and opportunities, and institutional and national data banks of investigators grouped by research interests.

4. **Office of Research, Health Sciences**

   The Office of Research, Health Sciences (692-2744) has responsibility for overseeing and facilitating biomedical and clinical research in the Schools of the Health Sciences. Issues or concerns related to biomedical research should be brought to the attention of the Associate Vice Chancellor for Research, Health Sciences, or his staff.
ATTACHMENT A

SELECT RESEARCH INTEGRITY BIBLIOGRAPHY FOR RESEARCHERS

**Books**
- *Ethics, Values, and the Promise of Science*, Proceedings of a Sigma Xi Forum, 1993
- *Scientific Integrity: An Introductory Text with Cases*, F.L. Macrina, for American Society for Microbiology, 1995

**Booklets**

**Reports**
- National Science Foundation, Semi-annual Reports of the Office of the Inspector General to the Congress, beginning in 1990
- Office of Research Integrity, U.S. Public Health Service Annual Reports, starting in 1989
- *The Responsible Conduct of Research in the Health Sciences*, The Institute of Medicine, National Academy Press, 1989

**Special Issues of Journals**
- *Five Case Studies*, down-loaded from Science on Line
ATTACHMENT B

PARTIAL LIST OF RELEVANT UNIVERSITY POLICIES AND PROCEDURES
(Numbers and dates refer to the listings in the University Policy and Procedure Manuals.)

Academic Integrity Guidelines, 02-03-02, 02-03-03, 02-03-04, and 02-03-05, August 10, 1988
In addition to this University-wide document, each school has its own specific document
governing the performance of students in the academic setting - in courses, examinations,
and degree-related research, and the responsibilities of faculty with respect to students.

Biosafety Manual, available from Biosafety Office (624-9505)
This is a helpful compilation of guidelines and regulations with respect to the safe
utilization of equipment, substances, and procedures in the laboratory.

Bloodborne Pathogens, 06-01-03, January 31, 1995

Commercialization of Inventions through Independent Companies: Policy and
Recommendations,
June 28, 1996, available from the Office of Technology Management (648-2206)
This describes University policy with respect to the participation of faculty, staff, and
students in start-up companies based on inventions by those faculty, staff, and students, and
defines the role of the Entrepreneurial Oversight Committee in administering this policy.

Conflict of Interest in Research, 11-01-03, June 21, 1996
This document states University policy for eliminating or dealing with conflict of interest
and describes the annual disclosures of outside interests required of all persons involved in
research.

Copyrights, 10-04-01, February 14, 1989, and 11-02-02, June 29, 1990
This describes procedures for seeking copyrights and specifies the relative rights of the
author and the University.

Guidelines on Data Retention and Access, available from Vice Provost for Research (624-
0784)

Environmental Health and Safety Manual, available from Environmental Health and Safety
Office
(624-9505)

Handbook for the Use of Animals in Research, Testing, and Teaching, available from
Division of Laboratory Animal Resource (624-2396)
This provides guidelines and regulations governing the use of animals.

Handbook on Integrity in Biomedical Research, available from the Office of the
Senior Associate Dean, School of Medicine (648-9060)
This provides an overview of the major ethical issues and problems confronting biomedical
research.

Patent Rights and Technology Transfer, 11-02-01, June 29, 1990
This describes the procedures for applying for patents and outlines the relative rights and
responsibilities of the inventor(s) and the University.

Protection of Human Research Subjects, available from the Institutional Review Board (647-
7644)
This is a detailed description of the regulations governing the use of human research
subjects and of the procedures for seeking IRB approval.

Registration Document for Recombinant DNA Research, available from the Office of Research
(624-7405)

Regulations Regarding the Safe Uses of Sources of Ionizing Radiation, available from the Radiat
Safety Office (624-2728)

Research Integrity Policy, 11-01-01, August 1, 1994, available from the Research Integrity
Officer (624-3007)

This defines research misconduct and describes the procedures for conducting inquiries and investigations into allegations of misconduct and for making and appealing decisions related to misconduct.

Rights, Roles, and Responsibilities of Sponsored Research Investigators, 11-01-02, April 3, 1992

This document outlines the rights and responsibilities of investigators and provides a mechanism for resolution of disputes.